# New-York Daily Times.

VOL. IV.—NO. 1032. NEW-YORK, MONDAY, JANUARY 8, 1855. PRICE TWO CENTS.


## LATEST INTELLIGENCE

By Telegraph to the New-York Daily Times.

## NATIONAL AFFAIRS.

## THE ARMY.

### Official Correspondence between Gen. Wool and Secretary Davis.

### Charges of Persecution against the Administration.

### GEN. WOOL ACCUSED OF NEGLECT OF DUTY.

### A VERY PRETTY QUARREL.

Special Correspondence of the N. Y. Daily Times.

WASHINGTON, Saturday, Jan. 6, 1855.

I send you for publication copies of two official letters,—one from General Wool, U. S. Army, to the Secretary of War, and the other the Secretary's reply thereto. The letters are the closing ones of a voluminous correspondence between the General and the War Department, and will be read with great interest, both in and out of the Army. It will be seen that General WOOL complains, in effect, with more of correctness than dignity, that he has been the victim of persecution at the hands of the Administration,—that due credit for specific services has been withheld from him; and generally, that he has been placed in a false position.

Secretary DAVIS' reply is like the man,—able, explicit, dignified and courteous, and yet keen as a razor, and cool enough to chill the most unimpassionable man of the world. It would be impossible to detect in it a single line that could be complained of by the most fastidious. It contains not a word, not a word that would seem to have been thrown to express an unkind sentiment, or the slightest disposition to evince disrespect to the officer to whom it is addressed. Yet there is no ambiguity in its criticisms of the conduct of the battle of Gen. WOOL. As the case stands, it puts the General in a very disagreeable position. It accuses him of failure to obey his instructions with neglect of official duty, positive errors of judgment, inconsistency and the want of system in the discharge of his duties. It does not charge him with falsehood; and yet it seems to show decided discrepancies between the General's statements and the truth; and it closes with a delicately conveyed but unmistakable intimation that he has lost the confidence of the President, and lost it, too, in consequence of his own official acts.

There is a secret history connected with all this. Before Gen. WOOL went to the Pacific he entertained the suspicion—whether justly or not—that the President was jealous of him as a rival candidate for the Presidency; and I am not certain but this suspicion was extended so as to include the Secretary of War also. His appointment to the Department of the Pacific was deemed of a sort of peace-offering, to satisfy the General that his suspicions were groundless. It appears that this arrangement did not altogether convince the General of his error. He went to California in a frame of mind likely to obscure his mental vision, and to give a disagreeable coloring to every administrative act towards him. His failure to rebuke the attack upon the President by ex-Senator FOOTE of Mississippi, at the complimentary dinner given to Gen. WOOL on his arrival at San Francisco, was naturally considered as indication that a cordial feeling of friendship to the Administration was a stranger to his breast. His conduct since then, as developed in his correspondence, seems to show that his unfortunate frame of mind has been the occasion of much unhappiness to himself, and has betrayed him into a course of conduct which his best friends can view only with regret. Here is the secret of his difficulties.

General WOOL, during the Mexican War, was in General TAYLOR'S division. It is known he was not a favorite with the stern old hero of Rough Ness, and that his counsel had not the weight with his superior officer which ordinarily would have been due to his rank. Col. DAVIS,—then of the Mississippi rifles, and now Secretary of War,—on the contrary had the unbounded confidence of General TAYLOR, who advised with him on every subject, and relied upon his unerring judgment in many a trying military emergency. A mind even to suspicion as General WOOL's has been of late, would naturally feel some apprehension when a subordinate officer,—who had then by these circumstances been brought into such intimate association with his superior,—is elevated to the head of the War Department. There were some other circumstances during the War calculated to excite General WOOL's jealousy of DAVIS. It is boldly stated by the celebrated Capt. BRAGG and other meritorious officers who were on the field of Buena Vista, that during the fight Gen. WOOL approached General TAYLOR with the exclamation,—"We're whipped, General,—we're whipped." TAYLOR replied, in his peculiarly nervous and energetic tone,—"No! no! by G—d,—no we're not! We'll give them another blizzard! we'll press 'em a little." And he did press them, and gained a victory the fame whereof adorns one of the brightest pages in the history of American arms. Col. DAVIS differed with WOOL, on that occasion, and the result is a striking evidence of the wisdom of his judgment.

This statement of facts is due to an understanding of the causes of the differences between Gen. WOOL and the Administration. A proper understanding of the case is due to the parties most directly concerned; it is due to the army and to the people as well. There is a difference of opinion as to what course Gen. WOOL will take in view of the Secretary's letter; but most of those officers with whom I have conversed think he will resign—that a proper self-respect will render it impossible for him to continue in office under the direction of one who has so severely reviewed his official acts and correspondence.

Gen. HOUSTON, Chairman of the Committee of Ways and Means, has profited much by his experience of last session in that responsible position. No better evidence need be desired of this than the extraordinary promptness with which he brought the several annual Appropriation bills before the House. All of them were reported, and several of them had been passed under his judicious management before the holidays. It is his purpose at an early day—probably next week—to press upon the House the consideration of his Tariff bill of last session. I do not believe he has decided hope of getting a bill of so much detail through both Houses during the brief remainder of this session. When that shall seem impossible, a bill of half-a-dozen lines will be substituted for it, simply enacting a reduction of twenty-five per cent. on the duties on imports under the several schedules of the tariff of '46. A scheme of this sort may be pressed through, but still I do not believe it beyond doubt.

If the Whig members will take up Secretary GUTHRIE'S bill,—which looks to the encouragement of American labor by encouraging home manufactures without increasing the price of the manufactured article to the consumer,—they can carry with them the entire Pennsylvania delegation, and perhaps quite a number of Democrats from southern and eastern manufacturing districts. This seems to me, at present, to be the mode of operation which promises most successful antagonism to the project of the Committee.

General HOUSTON tells me it is not improbable that he will resign his seat in the Senate next Fall, but not before that time, at all events.

Quite a number of delegates to the Convention of the soldiers of the War of 1812 have arrived, and some of them are seen daily at the Capitol, wearing on their hats the old fashioned cockade of blue cloth, edged with a frill of scarlet, with a silver tassel dependant therefrom, the whole surmounted by the figures "12" in silver. Their Convention on Monday next promises to be largely attended.

### Correspondence between Gen. Wool and the Secretary of War.

HEAD-QUARTERS, DEPARTMENT OF THE PACIFIC, BENICIA, Oct. 13, 1854.

TO HON. JEFFERSON DAVIS, *Sec. of War*—

SIR: I have received your communication of August 18, '54, in answer to mine of May 20, 1854.

Excepting the 4th and 5th paragraphs, I shall defer until some future period an answer to this communication.

In the fourth paragraph you remark, "My letter of the 4th April is explanatory of the instructions of Jan. 12, so far as relates to unlawful expeditions against the territories of Foreign powers. It is not necessary to argue whether your construction of them is sustained by the letter. It is sufficient for the Department to preserve that the interpretation you originally put on them was severe, and that you acted in accordance with that interpretation, but, when you received my letter of April 14, stating to you the construction that the Department designed you to place on your instructions, you should have been content to act in conformity thereto."

In reply, it is due to myself to say that I have been content to act, since I received it, strictly in accordance with your interpretation of your instructions of the 12th January, as will be seen by examination of a correspondence with Collector HAYMOND, in August last, forwarded to you through General SCOTT, 31st August, and the correspondence with Mr. GADSDEN, our Minister at Mexico, in September last—a copy of which was transmitted to you through the same channel by the mail of the 1st inst. But while I was content with your interpretation—which, however, I think I will be able to convince even yourself was an erroneous one, and not the one intended by you when you drew up my instructions—I was not content to be represented as exceeding my instructions, and doing that which was neither expected or desired of me—namely, the interfering, which I did not do, but which you apprehended, with the duties of the civil officers of the Government. Hence my letter to you of the 20th May. No officer of the Government can entertain higher respect for the Civil Department of the Government than myself, and none can be more ready and go further to sustain them in all their rights and prerogatives.

To convince you, however, that I was right and correct in my construction of your instructions, to say nothing of the interviews and conversations I had with you and the President on the subject, which were emphatic and could not be misunderstood, I would simply refer you to an extract of my communication to you, dated the 7th January, 1854, which reads as follows:

"WASHINGTON, Jan. 7, 1854.

"SIR: Agreeably to the conversation we had yesterday, and your expressed wishes, I have the honor to present my views in regard to some of the duties which may devolve on me as commander of the Pacific Department.

"If I understand the object of my assignment, it is to preserve, as far as may be practicable, the peace and quietness of the inhabitants within and without, and to restrain those of our citizens from violating treaties on the neutrality of the country, by fitting out expeditions against nations with whom we are at peace. If I comprehend the law upon the subject, in order to act efficiently in all such cases, it will be necessary for the President to delegate the power to me by special instructions. * * * *

"In conformity to these suggestions, and affirming my views, you transmitted to me your instructions of the 12th of January, which, in connection with this subject, are as follows:

WAR DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON, Jan. 12, 1854.

"SIR: In addition to the ordinary duties of the military command, to which you have been assigned, it is deemed proper to direct your attention to certain special duties which will devolve upon you.

"Among these will be the duty of maintaining our international obligations, by preventing unlawful expeditions against the territories of foreign powers. Confidence is felt that you will, to the utmost of your ability, use all proper means to detect the fitting out of armed expeditions against countries with which the United States are at peace, and will seriously cooperate with the civil authorities in maintaining the neutality laws." * * * * * *

These instructions are strictly in accordance with the conversation I had with you and the President on the 17th December, 1853, when, according to the memoranda before me, made at the time, you asserted that it was "a question of peace or war," referring to the importance of putting down the illegal expeditions forming against the Territory of Mexico. So anxious was the President on the subject that he wished me to reach California as soon as possible, and fixed as early a day as the 5th of January for the time of my departure from New-York; this, in order that these expeditions might be prevented if possible, as important negotiations being then pending with Mexico, it was necessary that prompt and decided measures should be taken at the earliest moment, lest there might be produced a rupture in our relations with that Republic. It was also stated in that conversation that you wanted an officer of my discretion and judgment, on whom to confer a command of such grave and delicate responsibility and necessary discretionary power, as it was too remote for you to exercise immediate control.

It was under these circumstances that I said that whatever might be the sacrifice, I would go, and with pleasure, and that neither you nor the President should have cause to regret that you had selected me for an important a command. At the same time I remarked that no officer should be entrusted with a mission of such importance and responsibility without possessing the most implicit confidence of the President, as well as the Secretary of War, which both assured me I possessed.

It was with my views of duty thus formed that I entered upon the command of the Department in February, with a determination to carry out faithfully, and to the letter, your views and those of the President, having no other object before me but the good of the country and the service; and what has been the result? In accordance with the express wishes of the President, and your positive and unequivocal instructions, I prevented expeditions being fitted out against Mexico; was the cause of the arrest, trial and conviction of WATKINS, of the arrest, trial and conviction of the Mexican Consul, the arrest and trial of the French Consul, who ought to have been convicted, and who would have been convicted had he been tried a second time, and compelled the surrender of WALKER and his party. Through the vigilance of the officers stationed throughout the Department, I have preserved peace and quiet in the Indian country, erected batteries, at a small expense, for the protection of the harbor of San Francisco, and ordered an arsenal building to be erected at Benicia for the protection of the ordnance stores, and the establishment of a new post on the Indian reservation at the Tejon Pass.

Being confident that I was promoting the interests of the country, and that in accordance with the wishes of the Secretary of War, I had anticipated his approval—but so far from realizing my anticipations, no act of mine seems to have met his approbation. His letter of the 14th April is, from beginning to end, a rebuke, if not a direct censure. This was followed by an order removing me to Benicia, and ordering the troops away from the Presidio, which, had it been carried out, would have caused the loss of a million of dollars of public property. Censured because I had not fulfilled a voluntary desire to inspect my command, which I was unable to carry out, owing to injuries received by a fall of a horse upon me, and two severe attacks of sickness, and if I had been able, would have been prevented by paramount duties, as I conceived them, and by being detained by civil process to attend the trials of WATKINS, DEL VALLE and the French Consul,—in the meantime, Col. MANSFIELD, an Inspector-General, arrived, who, as I conceived, had been sent to relieve me of so arduous a duty, as that of traveling the vast regions of the Department of the Pacific.

In conclusion, I would remark that I have thus honestly, sincerely, and frankly, and without intending the slightest disrespect to you, or any one else, prepared a vindication of my views and acts in regard to my instructions, and the duties I was to execute in the Pacific Department, and with the assurance, that according to my understanding of them, I have been "faithful *in all things* to the last degree," as I assured both you and the President I would be, at our last interview, on taking leave for my distant command.

I am, very respectfully, your ob't serv't,
JOHN E. WOOL, Major-General.

*Reply of Secretary Davis.*

WAR DEPARTMENT, Washington, Dec. 12, 1854.

SIR: Your letter of the 13th October reached me when I was too much engaged in preparations for the meeting of Congress to reply. I was surprised at its contents, although I had previously seen in a San Francisco newspaper the same topics discussed in much the same language which is used in you letter. In both, the object is to make it appear that you have been exposed to undeserved censure, and that approval has been withheld for the faithful performance of duties imposed upon you by instructions. Approval or disapproval is a matter of judgment, not of volition. That no proneness to censure exists, is shown by the reserve with which the Department received the announcement of various proceedings which it could not commend. Among the most prominent of these, is the postponement of military duties, to which you were expected to give your personal attention.

This was not satisfactorily explained by the statement of your constant occupation in preventing unlawful expeditions against foreign territories—a service to which your attention was specially called in advance of the ordinary duties of the Department commander. You claim that under your instructions this duty was "paramount;" a recurrence to those instructions and the circumstances under which they were given, will aid in understanding the questions involved.

It is always a delicate matter to attempt to quote conversations from memory, and it is unnecessary to do so, when, as in this case, the conversations resulted in a correspondence which covered the whole subject. I shall not, therefore, follow your example in this, but rely on what was written, and refer to the correspondence in which you made known your views, and the Department defined your duties.

On the 7th of January, the order was given for your assignment to the command, and that day you addressed to this Department a written communication stating your views in regard to the duties you were about to assume. In that letter you state that you understand the object of your assignment to be, to protect the inhabitants, and "to restrain our citizens from violating treaties or the neutrality of the country by fitting out expeditions against nations with whom we are at peace." You add: "If I comprehend the law on this subject, in order to act efficiently in all cases, it will be necessary for the President to delegate the power to me by special instructions."

The brief sentences quoted are all that occur on this subject in a letter of more than four pages. The remainder is occupied principally by a consideration of the relations between the whites and the Indians, and urging the necessity of being invested by the President with certain powers (which this Department could not confer) in relation to the Indians, and also, with authority to call out militia. If at this time you had been informed that the duty of preventing illegal expeditions was one of paramount importance, it is inexplicable that you did not devote more space to it. You would certainly have been warranted in discussing at some length the considerations connected with the exercise of a power to be specially delegated by the President. If this letter is to be taken as indicating fully your views of the duties to devolve upon you, that of preventing illegal expedition, then occupied a very subordinate position in the scale of their relative importance.

On the 10th January you wrote that a recent arrival from California reported that an illegal expedition had been recently fitted out at San Francisco, and others were preparing to follow. You added, "I am not in possession of all your views in relation to the course that ought to be pursued towards such expeditions, and yet if a decided and efficient course is to be adopted and followed, on my arrival in California, to prevent similar expeditions against Lower California, I would suggest that the two Companies at Fort Hamilton, N. Y., or two other Companies from some other part, be ordered to accompany me on the 20th inst. by way of the Isthmus."

By this it is shown that as late as the 10th of January the views of the Department in relation to unlawful military expeditions had not been made fully known to you. No interviews were had on the subject after that date; whatever was subsequently communicated to you was contained in the instructions of January 12, issued after you had left Washington.

Before citing those instructions, it may be well to remark that the intention of the Department might be gathered as well from what was declined as from what was done.

Special powers, such as you asked, had been given to several high military officers by commission, under the hand of the President, countersigned by the Secretary of State. Such a commission had been given to your predecessor, General HITCHCOCK, and must be on record at your headquarters, but no such commission was given you, and the two companies you thought it necessary should accompany you, if a certain course was to be adopted, were not sent forward.

You claim that the instructions of January 10 were in conformity to your suggestions and affirmed your views—nothing can be further from the fact. The only suggestion you made in that letter was not adopted, nor was that made on the 10th January. No measures specially in view of that subject were taken, and no special authority or instructions from the President were given at all. The President was not alluded to in the instructions, because it was determined, after full consideration, that the Department should confine itself in all these matters to its well defined and ordinary powers. Had it been any part of the object of the instructions of January 12 to adopt your views, or to confirm a radical understanding which for any reason it was deemed proper not to put in writing, there would necessarily have been some reference to it. But such is not the case. The instructions stand alone, and contain all the authority that the Department, after conference with you, decided to grant. I am therefore unable to perceive how you reach the conclusion that the instructions of January 12 conformed to your suggestions, and affirmed your views, when not one of them was adopted, or even referred to.

In the instructions of January 12, after stating that it is deemed proper to direct your attention to certain special duties that will devolve upon you, this passage occurs: "Among these will be the duty of maintaining our international obligations, by preventing unlawful expeditions against the territories of foreign powers. Confidence is felt that you will, to the utmost of your ability, use all proper means to detect the fitting out of armed expeditions against countries with which the United States are at peace, and will seriously cooperate with the civil authorities in maintaining the neutrality laws."

Then follow, in succession, instructions with regard to the protection of the Mexican frontier from Indian incursions, in fulfillment of the treaty of Gaudalupe Hidalgo; to the protection of our own citizens from hostile Indians; to the removal of Indians to the reservations provided for them and the establishment of posts in their vicinity; to the supervision of expenditures, and to the collection of topographical information. As the question is as to the relative importance of these duties, it is to be remarked, that very nearly the same space is devoted to each, and all are enforced in about equally strong language.

Upon a review of these facts, my judgment is much at fault, if there can be found any ground for giving more importance to the duty of preventing illegal expeditions against Mexico, than to the protection of our own citizens.

It is true, these instructions left much to your discretion, but not to the extent you claim. In the general proposition, that it was your duty to prevent unlawful expeditions against the territories of foreign powers, there was attached a clause prescribing how you were to proceed for that purpose; it was by detecting preparations for such expeditions and by cooperating with the civil officers whose duty it was to arrest them. It was obvious that your official relations with numerous officers stationed in every part of California and Oregon would give you opportunity which no other public officer possessed of gaining early information of any such movement. It was not intended or supposed that an officer holding the highest grade in our Army, and entrusted with the duty of preserving order among numerous Indian tribes, and guarding the lives and property of our citizens within the limits of an extensive command, would confine himself month after month to a single city, for the purpose of aiding the civil authorities in watching the movements of secret associations, and preventing the commission of civil misdemeanors, a pursuit in which his high military rank and experience gave him little, if any advantage, while it lent to the prosecutions, especially when directed against foreigners, a character which they would not have possessed if conducted like others, proceeding only from the action of the Judiciary.

That your devotion to this service has been attended with disadvantage to your military duties, is an opinion in which I am sustained by yourself. Your letters of April 14 and May 15 show that you were aware of the consequences to which your exclusive devotion to a single pursuit was leading. In the former, indeed, you state, in terms, that since your arrival in San Francisco most of your time had been occupied in ferreting out and defeating the schemes of Fillibusters, and you had not been able to devote that attention to your professional duties which the public interest demanded. One of these duties, the first which you proposed to undertake, and from which beneficial results were expected, was the personal inspection of your command, and the exploration of the country, with a view to make such a disposition of the troops as would tend to check Indian depredations. At present you speak of that intended inspection as a voluntary desire to inspect your command, to be carried into effect or abandoned at will; but such was not the light in which you originally placed it before the Department. In your letter of Jan. 7, you spoke of that inspection as necessary before posts could be established to restrain the roving bands of Indians from depredations upon the whites. This was surely an object second to none in importance. That it occupied a prominent place in your mind, is shown by your letter of Feb. 28, written but two weeks after your arrival, in which you deem it necessary to state why you had not at once entered on the inspection; incessant rain had prevented you, but as soon as the weather would permit, you would commence the duty and continue it until completed. The same subject is renewed in your letters of March 1, March 14, and April 10, showing the importance you attached to it, but it was soon lost sight of in a more absorbing pursuit. You now account for your failure to perform this duty by disability, by paramount duties, (understood to be the preventing of unlawful expeditions,) and in fine, by the removal of all necessity for it by the inspection tour of Col. MANSFIELD. With regard to the disability which of course would constitute a valid excuse for the nonperformance of any duty, I can only say, it is here for the first time offered in our correspondence. In your earlier letters the delay was attributed to the rains. On the subject of paramount duties, I have already expressed an opinion, and with regard to the inspection by Col. MANSFIELD I do notsee that it had any bearing on the subject. Col. MANSFIELD was not sent to aid you in the performance of your duties, but to inspect your command and report the condition of it to the Commanding General and the Department.

In relation to the troops required for your Department, and the disposition to be made of them, your reports evince such fluctuations of opinion as could not have been anticipated, and necessarily embarrasses my efforts to cooperate with you. In my letter of Jan. 12, I stated that the force assigned to your Department was known to be inadequate to the duties required of it. In your report of Feb. 28, you stated that an increase of force to guard against difficulties with the Indians in California, Utah, Oregon, and Washington, was indispensable. Your letter of March 14, forwards reports of Indian difficulties in Oregon, and urges the necessity of an increase of force to protect the Indians as well as the whites from mutual aggressions. In your letter of March 31, to the Commanding-General, you say, "the difficulty of preserving the peace of the country is daily increasing, owing to the increase of emigrants who are consequently encroaching upon the Indians, and depriving them of their improvements. This produces collisions between the two races, white and red, which too frequently end in bloodshed. To keep them quiet and to preserve peace, a large military force is indispensable. We have now less than 1,000 men to guard and defend California, Oregon, Washington, and Utah, altogether in size, an Empire of itself. To guard this Department and to defend it, with almost an innumerable number of Indian tribes, requires at least one regiment of artillery, two of dragoons and three of infantry, a force certainly small to preserve and keep quiet so extended a country. Allow me to request, General, that you will urge upon the War Department to send another regiment as soon as practicable." In your letter of May 30, you explain at large your reasons for calling for more troops, and represent the peace of the country as almost daily threatened by whites and Indians. On the 15th of June, you received an order to remove from the Presidio the troops which were believed to be greatly needed elsewhere, and to establish your headquarters at Benicia. The order was given in an endorsement upon letters from yourself and staff, representing the insufficiency of the allowance made you to rent quarters for yourself at San Francisco, and applying for an increase of commutation. About the same time there were, according to a report from the Quartermaster General, forty-eight assignable rooms in the public quarters at Benicia, worth, at the rates you asked to be allowed, $20,800 per annum. The order was intended to relieve at once the officers from a tax and the Government from unnecessary expense;—it was, however, received with great dissatisfaction, and numerous objections to leaving San Francisco were stated, in a letter written the day you received the order. Among them was the singular statement that you found yourself embarrassed as to what to do with the companies which were to be removed from the Presidio. One of them, you could find a place for, but not for the other; all the quarters at the various posts were occupied, and there were no quarters or barracks for either officers or men, except at Benicia. You judged correctly that there was no room, and yet you complain of his with your recent call for troops, and complain in these words: "That these remarks may not seem inconsistent with the representations I have heretofore made of the want of troops in this Department, and especially in my letter to Major General Scott, of March 31, I must say that my instructions from the honorable Secretary of War extend my command over Utah; that when I left the East, it was supposed a law would be passed increasing the number of regiments in service; and, in view of that increase, I hoped to receive one or more regiments to be posted in Utah. The late communications of the Secretary of War to me make me hesitate to assume the responsibility of erecting new quarters at any of the posts; and, by the disposition already made of the troops in this State, Oregon and Washington Territories, all the quarters are fully occupied. Of course I cannot send two or three companies to Utah. Hence my present embarrassment in relation to stationing the two companies now at the Presidio, and the two under Lieut. Col. STEPTOE."

I must remark upon this, that the purpose for which you here declare you desired the troops you had so urgently called for, viz., to take post in Utah, is first put forth in this letter, and is irreconcileable with the objects declared in earlier letters, which represented the most pressing necessity for them in Oregon, Washington, and the northern part of California. As for you hoping "to receive one or more regiments to be posted in Utah," I can only regard it as a momentary error. The entire addition to the army asked for was but three regiments; and how could you have expected one or more of these to be sent to that remote portion of the Department, when troops were so much wanted in other parts of your command? That this idea could not have been seriously entertained is made manifest by your letter of Oct. 23, in which you very satisfactorily show, (what the Department well knew,) that troops intended for Utah should not be sent to the Pacific at all, but go overland. Those you asked to be sent to you, therefore, could not have been intended for Utah. Your allusion to a late communication from the Secretary of War, which causes you to hesitate to erect barracks for the troops, can only apply to that of April 13, declining to pay drafts drawn by your order for funds to erect an Ordnance storehouse at Benicia. There was no appropriation legally applicable to the erection of such a building, and this was stated to you as the reason for the non-payment of your draft, while it was admitted at the same time that the accommodation was necessary, and you were informed that an appropriation had been asked for it. The barracks, on the contrary, could have been built from the ordinary appropriation which has never failed to be made annually to provide shelter for the troops, and which was then available.

Having shown on the 15th June that you had no means of disposing of a single company advantageously, on the 14th September you report that you have not a company available to establish a new post, represented to be much needed in the northern part of Washington; and again, on the 23d of October, you earnestly remonstrate against the 6th Infantry being sent to your Department before next Spring. On the whole, it appears to me that your correspondence on this subject manifests a want of definite purpose and system in the disposal of the troops, which I can only attribute to the devotion of an undue portion of your time and attention to other than the proper duties of your command.

In the conclusion of your letter you present a group of services for which you claim credit,—the preservation of peace in the Indian country, the erection at small expense of temporary batteries for the protection of San Francisco harbor, the erection of an arsenal building at Benicia, the establishment of a new post on the Indian reservation at the Tejon Pass, and preventing the loss of a million dollars' worth of public property at the Presidio. Upon these points, since you urge them upon my attention, I will briefly remark.

It would surely be very gratifying to me could I acknowledge your claim for having preserved peace in the Indian country; but to do that I should have to forget not only the outrages you yourself have reported, but others equal in atrocity to any that took place during the time of your predecessor.

The propriety of erecting the temporary batteries to which you refer, depends upon the necessity. This has not been fully shown the Department, and in the meantime rests in the presumption that you have exercised a sound discretion. An armament was sent to San Francisco, as to other places similarly situated, to meet any emergency which might arise before the completion of the fortifications under construction. Your order to the engineer officers to mount twenty guns, was readily complied with, to the extent that guns and carriages had been sent to them, and it is not a matter of surprise that with earthen embankments this could be done at a very small expense. But the temporary works will have to be levelled when the forts are completed and the guns placed in their proper permanent positions. The double expenditure, therefore, is to be justified only by an emergency requiring more prompt preparation for defence than was consistent with the plan of the permanent works which had been approved, and for which the appropriations had been made.

The necessity for additional store-room at Benicia was known before you took command, and an appropriation had been asked in the annual estimates for the construction of an arsenal there. You had a building erected before the appropriation was made, and without taking those measures respecting title to the site which the law requires; you certainly anticipated the action of Congress, but to do so you raised money by drawing drafts which could not be paid, and the protest of which threw loss upon a subordinate officer. The establishment of a new post at Tejon reservation, if in fact it had been done, would have been in accordance with the instructions of this Department. The post, however, is reported to be not on the reserve, but fifteen miles distant, and I am now awaiting explanations which have been required from you on the subject. The danger which threatened public property to the amount of a million of dollars, was the seizure by trespassers of the Presidio reservation and the sites of the fortifications upon the withdrawal of the troops. The occupation of the land by intruders whom the Department has power under an act of Congress to remove at any moment by an order to the United States Marshal, would hardly have involved its entire loss to the Government. Still it was proper to leave a guard to protect it from intrusion, and as the Department gave an order to that effect upon your representations, this may be considered as a sufficient acknowledgment of the correctness of your views.

In conclusion, I will take occasion to say, that in the offer of the very important command you now hold, you had the best evidence of the estimation in which you were held. If in this field of duty which you forcibly describe as equal in extent to an empire, you have failed to sustain the confidence reposed in you, it would seem to be cause for regret rather than complaint.

Very, respectfully, your obedient servant,
JEFFERSON DAVIS, Secretary of War.

Brig. Gen. JOHN E. WOOL, commanding the Department of the Pacific, Benicia, California.

### Arrival of Soldiers and Indians—Colonel Kinney's Recruiting Agents—United States Supreme Court.

WASHINGTON, Saturday, Jan. 6.

The arrival here of over a thousand of the soldiers and Indians engaged in the war of 1812, makes the city quite lively.

The Indian delegation is in charge of General E. W. BEASON; HENRY WESTON, Seneca; SAMUEL GONNER, Chief of the Onondagas; PETER WILSON, Sachem of the Cayugas; JOHN BENNETT and JACOB BENNETT, young chief warriors; JACOB SHENDO, Seneca war chief; DANIEL BERAD, war chief, from Green Bay; JACOB ROWNAS, war chief, from Western New-York; and Dr. JOHN S. DENNY, State Interpreter.

KINNEY'S recruiting agents are enrolling a considerable number of men. Recruits are offered six hundred and forty acres each, and three hundred dollars at the end of the year, but they are to pay twenty-five dollars in advance, and be at Baltimore for embarkation.

#### Supreme Court.

WASHINGTON, Sunday, Jan. 7.

Last week C. M. McCORMICK appealed to the Supreme Court for an injunction to restrain J. MARSTON & Co., of Illinois, from manufacturing reap-


THE NEW-YORK DAILY TIMES, A New-York Newspaper, and THE CONGRESS, a quarto Weekly for the mails, and contains all the reading matter of the Daily.

THE NEW-YORK EVENING TIMES, PUBLISHED EVERY EVENING (Sundays excepted) at 30 Ann-st, and delivered to carriers at the commencement of the Daily Times.

THE SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY and FRIDAY, at $2 per annum, for the mails, and contains all the reading matter of the Daily.

THE NEW-YORK WEEKLY TIMES, A NEWS AND NEWSPAPER, and THE CONGRESS, a quarto Weekly, every SATURDAY MORNING, at the low price of Two Dollars per annum. The terms of the Weekly are Two Dollars, or Two Dollars and Fifty Cents, if some portion of the time for which it is paid be in arrears. Postmasters are authorized to act as agents for the Weekly Times, and may receive Two Dollars in payment for one year's subscription.

Single copy, one year ..... Two Dollars.
Ten copies to one address ..... Twenty Dollars.
Twenty copies to one address ..... Twenty Dollars.
Fifty copies to one address ..... 35 cents.

THE TIMES FOR CALIFORNIA, A LARGE NEWSPAPER OF EIGHT PAGES, OF FORTY-EIGHT COLUMNS, made up expressly for circulating in California, Oregon and the Atlantic States, and containing only news of importance to readers in those portions of the country, is published every Mail Day, the Times of every Mail Day, the Times of every Mail Day, the Times of every Mail Day.

RAYMOND, HARPER & CO., Publishers.


## New-York Daily Times.

### THE CONGRESS.

### OFFICE-HOLDERS OF FOREIGN BIRTH.

### AMERICAN NEGRO-CITIZENSHIP.

### GENERAL NEWS.

THIRTY-THIRD CONGRESS.....Second Session.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

WASHINGTON, Saturday, Jan. 6.

Mr. MAXWELL, called but did not obtain leave to offer a resolution, calling on the President of the United States to furnish the House with the names of persons holding situations in the Department, and stating their salaries, when March 4, 1853, and the number of foreign birth.

Mr. REAGY offered a joint resolution, which was passed, excusing the public printers that contracted for public printing from the benefit of the resolution passed at the last session giving extra compensation to the people of the Legislative department of the Government.

Mr. CLINGMAN asked the unanimous consent of the House to make a personal explanation. He said he was a gentleman, as well as anyone, he hoped, but he did not make it. There is a statement in some of the papers relative to the remarks of the gentleman from Georgia, Mr. BAYLY, the Chairman of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the other day, upon the subject of fitting the reduction of this country to war, with a little injection to myself and other members of the Committee. I wish to state, that when the gentleman, two or three weeks ago, with very great propriety, authorized me to report the resolution proposed by the commissioner alluded to by Mr. BAYLY, it was known to the members of that Committee. I may add, that it was made known to me, as well as to the other members, only on the very day previous to my speaking on the subject. This fact ought to be understood, because the members of the Committee would be supposed to have acted as they did with a knowledge of the gentleman's sentiments. I say further, that when that resolution was made, I informed the gentleman of Virginia, that, in deference to the information received, it was not necessary for him to call the Committee to consider the subject, for I would waive the right for the present, to report on the subject. I may further say that the information does not, in my judgment, bear on at all the propriety of making the resolution referred. But for reasons which it is not proper for me to state, I decline taking any share in the debate. This much I desire to be understood, in justice to the opinions of the Committee, and to myself.

The House then went into Committee on Private Bills, passing a number of them.

Adjourned to Tuesday.

#### Massachusetts State Committee.

BOSTON, Saturday, Jan. 6.

The Legislative Caucus, in secret session last night, selected the following ticket for State officers: BENJAMIN R. WEST, of Boston; C. R. HUNKINS, of Haverhill; ELIJAH BRIGHAM, of Westboro; HORACE LYMAN, of Greenfield; JOHN W. FOSTER, of Springfield; CHAUNCEY R. [illegible], of Roxbury; DAVID DAVIS, of Edgartown. For Secretary of State, EDWARD H. WHITNEY was nominated by acclamation.

HENRY J. GARDNER, the Governor elect, will be inaugurated on Tuesday next, when he will, most probably, deliver his address.

Rev. LYMAN WHITING was to-day elected Chaplain of the Senate.

#### The Maine Legislature—The Governor's Message.

AUGUSTA, (Me.,) Saturday, Jan. 6.

The Legislature of this State elected to-day ALBERT JACKSON, Esq., Secretary of State, by one hundred and sixty-four votes against thirty-four for T. F. BOYNTON.

The Governor's Councillors were also elected, embracing three Whigs, three Democrats, and one Free-Soiler.

Governor MORRILL'S Message occupied half an hour in its delivery, and embraced the usual portion of local interest. The Message was mainly on those distinctive points to which the Governor owed his election, namely: Temperance, the sale and prohibition. He recommends a close scrutiny and economy in State expenditures, and the passage of new laws.

Governor CROSBY went home, this afternoon, apparently suffering in his mind from the effects of excitement.

#### The Charge against the Commercial Bank of Philadelphia.

PHILADELPHIA, Saturday, Jan. 6.

The charge against the Commercial Bank of this city is now being heard in the Supreme Court of this State.

Affidavits were presented showing the officers of the Bank with discounting paper at usurious rates after the close of Bank hours, and on account of which, the receipts between Nine and Five o'clock had amounted to over eleven thousand dollars.

While the argument was pending, the Judge suggested, as a matter of inquiry, whether the Court had jurisdiction, as the charge was apparently a criminal one, over which the Supreme Court had no jurisdiction except to review the decisions of inferior Courts.

#### Mortality of Philadelphia.

PHILADELPHIA, Monday, Jan. 7.

The number of deaths in this city for the past week were one hundred and sixty-three, which is a decrease of twenty from the previous week.

#### Repealing the License Laws.

CHICAGO, Ill., Saturday, Jan. 6.

A bill passed in the House of Representatives of this State to-day, repealing all License laws, by a vote of 51 to 31.

#### Severe Gale at Dunkirk.

DUNKIRK, Sunday, Jan. 7.

A terrific gale prevailed here during all the day yesterday, and lasting until midnight. Several temporary and unfinished buildings were blown down; the passenger depot of the New-York and Erie Railroad, the depot of the Lake Shore Road, and the new shop owned by the DUNKIRK Locomotive Company were all partly demolished.

#### The Weather in the East.

CALAIS, Me., Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

The weather here is clear and fine, and the thermometer is down to zero. Wind, N. N. W.

BANGOR, Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

The weather is thick and cold, and indicates an approaching snow storm.

BOSTON, Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

A cold, clear morning—mercury at 8.

PORTLAND, Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

Weather cloudy and looks like snow. Thermometer ten degrees above zero.

MONTREAL, Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

Thermometer 10 degrees above zero. Weather cloudy.

GENEVA, N. Y., Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

Thermometer 10 degrees above zero. Weather cloudy.

SYRACUSE, N. Y., Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

Weather cold and cloudy.

CLEVELAND, O., Saturday, Jan. 6—8 A. M.

The thermometer stands 18 degrees above zero. The weather cloudy.

[illegible]

[illegible] Correspondence of the N. Y. Daily Times.

AT ANCONA AND LEGHORN,
Wednesday, Nov. 15, 1854.

[illegible] when we arrived at Genoa, but it was 10 o'clock before we were [illegible] All this time we thought away in [illegible] magnificent historics, in examination of bills of health, passports, &c. It was necessary to be sure that we had not King Cholera or Commodore Malaria [illegible] way in our [illegible] books. And besides, life is tediously long [illegible] the world, and there is very little to do; so, to kill your time for you [illegible]

I took occasion, again and again, to gaze at the [illegible] and as I shivered in the raw wind, to repeat—"This is Italy! that is Genoa!" The latter was precisely what I had [illegible] to be—a fine city rising from the water's edge, in a crescent form, story after story, backed up by an irregular line of mountains [illegible] upon the town. But could this rocky-capped coast be Italy? It looked more like Vermont. As I have said, the night had been tempestuous, and a sudden [illegible] had covered the mountains far and near with snow. A [illegible] change of the season. [illegible] from a tropical to a frigid zone. [illegible] sat in the lobby of an Indian [illegible] to the biting atmosphere of a January Winter day. Again I said to myself—"Can this be Italy?"

[illegible] time we went ashore and engaged a room for the day at the Hotel Feder. This [illegible] an ancient palace. The landlord gave [illegible] which might have been one of the rooms of some prince merchant, or merchant prince, of the Republic, in the days of its pride. It was thirty feet in height and forty by thirty-five in its horizontal dimensions. The painted ceilings and frescoed walls told us that we were in the midst of a new civilization. The room was divided by two screens, [illegible] that high, into three compartments: [illegible] the centre, before the [illegible] a parlor; on the other side was the bedroom, containing two four-post beds, [illegible] for Goliah and his wife. The [illegible] of the place was grandeur, and in a [illegible] decoration. It looked [illegible] for the Anakims, and our [illegible] suddenly dwindled into pigmies. [illegible] myself if the old Genoese who flourished here were not at least twelve feet high. These first impressions gave way to others, [illegible] shivered, in the midst of our [illegible] We piled on the wood; we [illegible] more and put that too into the yawning jaws of the fireplace. It roared up the chimney as through the pipe of a steamer, and yet we were cold. "Confound this barn of a place!" said one. "It is dank as a prison!" said another. "This palace is a humbug!" thought, I—and, in fact, such is my [illegible] philosophy. These Italian palaces—the relics of former pride—are real misfortunes in the living and breathing present. If an earthquake could tumble them to the ground, buildings fitted to the comfort of the existing generation would rise up and bless their occupants. As they exist, however, they are made serviceable as hotels, or perchance as private dwellings, by persons who linger out a miserable existence in the midst of a chilling grandeur, of which only the mockery [illegible] remain.

Nevertheless, we had an excellent breakfast. The servants talked French; so we had little opportunity to try our school-taught Italian. One can eat, quickly, in any language. After our meal, our hearts seemed twice as large, and yet lighter by "at least a load." We opened the window and looked out. Our frozen souls seemed thawed, and we went forth. Up and down and round about we went. We saw ladies carried about in sedan chairs; for many of the streets are too nearly perpendicular for four-legged horses. We saw other ladies strolling along with the white mantle shawl over the head; and women of the lower class wearing long, bright, gaudy handkerchiefs in the same manner. Everybody has some pretence of this peculiar and graceful costume. Unhappily, the women are generally plain, and this pretty device cannot cure a defect so universal and so radical. As to the men, they are short, square and uncouth in form and face. The latter is broad, the mouth large, the forehead low, the eye is cavernously set, the lids opening covered with a deep, butter-colored pigment. The pupil of the eye is black, and what we call the white is yellow as the orange. The direct, sudden look of these people is startling and seems brigandish. They appeared more homogeneously of race than one might have expected. It is a fact, I know, that the ancient Ligurian blood predominates in that people, and that they have the pride of descent in consequence. There is certainly a marked and peculiar aspect about them, denoting originality and strength of character. I should expect to find examples of striking beauty among the refined classes. The Tuscan characterizes Genoa by a bitter proverb—Mountains without trees, a sea without fish, women without faith. It may be so; your neighbors are seldom candid witnesses [illegible]

[illegible] two or five hours for our survey of this famous city—the best starting again in the evening for Leghorn, its next stopping-place. In this limited time, however, we saw a multitude of objects, of which I cannot attempt to describe to you three most striking to a foreigner.

Its palaces have given this city its title of *Genova la superba*. There are three streets—Strada Nuova, and Nuovissima—which are continuous, and seem as one. This is the Fifth Avenue, or Broadway-street, of the days of the Doria, the Spinola, and the Doppelaro. The palaces are nearly all on the same plan. As you pass along the street, you look in through enormous iron gates or doorways on both sides. Here you see a large hall leading to a court surrounded by arcades, resting upon columns. You also catch glimpses of marble flights of steps, and beyond, the grand staircase, fit for the footsteps of kings. Still beyond, you see a garden and orange trees, with the oranges hanging thick on their branches, even though it be November. The whole is so far open to view, that the eye is dazzled with a wilderness of marbles, statues, columns, arches, flights of steps—in long perspective. The whole effect is, indeed, beautiful, and associated with historical recollections of the days when these palaces were filled with fair dames and brave men; when music breathed in the air, and pride and pleasure danced in the heart; they are wonderfully suggestive. Upon visiting the interior of some of these palaces, I found the rooms by no means equal to what I had expected from the exterior glimpses. They were comparatively small; the ornaments being chiefly confined to the frescoes, many of which, however, were works of real artistic merit. It is clear that the taste of the builders of these palaces was highly [illegible]; and, [illegible] history seems to [illegible] the best days of the Genoese, they were largely [illegible] by a more regard of expense and display.

So much for the general characteristics of the Palazzi of Genoa. There are particular examples of grander and more remarkable structure, such as the Balbi, Serra and Brignole palaces. Most of these are richly decorated with statues, bronzes and paintings, many of them executed by the great masters. A magnificent gallery of art might be made from these scattered treasures of this remarkable city. Here also are historical relics of great interest; as, for instance, in the Palazzo Doria—the Guildhall of Genoa—there is a bronze table containing the award made A. U. C. 652, by Q. M. Minucius and Q. F. Rufus, between the Genoeses and the Viturii, who had long been disputing about their respective territories. The question of boundary seems to have been most carefully and laboriously investigated, and the landmarks are set out with all the minuteness of a modern trigonometrical survey.

The Palazzo Reale, or Royal Palace, formerly the property of the Durazzo family, was purchased by the King in 1815, and in 1842 was fitted up by Charles Albert as a royal residence upon the sea-coast. The central palace is, of course, at Turin, the capital, but this is occasionally occupied by the royal family. It is on a much smaller scale and in less magnificent than the palaces of the great noble families. By the side of the Tuileries and Fontainebleau, or even of St. Cloud, it is insignificant; still, it has the air of a royal residence, and some of the rooms are elegantly fitted up. Two pieces of tapestry especially attracted our notice, by their extreme beauty. Here also is a sliding boudoir, arranged like one of our American dumb-waiters, so that her idle Majesty may ride from one story to another, like a roast turkey, or pork and beans for one.

After all, the palace of the highest interest is that of Doria Pamphili, built by the famous Andrea Doria, early in the Sixteenth Century. This has certainly somewhat of a regal character, and well displays the sumptuous taste of him who was called by Charles V. and by popular consent, *Il Principe*. He was employed in many capacities by the State, and thus acquired great wealth. He had a fleet of 22 galleys, and seems to have made war at sea on his own account. Indeed, commerce itself at that day, was but little more than piracy. In the conflict with France, Doria brought his fleet to the aid of his country, and thus accomplished its deliverance in 1528.

His palace was a fitting residence, according to the notions of the day, for such a man. In its construction and decoration he employed the most famous artists, and thus gave direction to public taste, and became the *founder of the peculiar style which afterwards* prevailed and now remains in the Genoese palaces. In the corridor which leads to the terraced garden are pictures of the Doria family in semi-heroic costume. Andrea is a gray headed old man; his sons are helmeted and rest on their shields. From this gallery the visitor looks upon the gardens, where gravel paths are bordered with trees of cypress and orange. From the latter, amid the chill dripping of sleet, hang festoons of ripe and ripening fruit, oranges, green and yellow. Moved by the persuasions of an uncurrent French coin, the guardian pretended to look the other way while we six picked a baker's dozen. Never did oranges smell or smack like those we plucked ourselves. On all sides, fountains, statues, and vases richly carved, embellish the grounds. Beyond the garden, which is washed by the sea, are the moles of the city, the lighthouse, the roadstead, and here and there a spreading sail upon the distant bay.

A group in the centre of the garden is illustrative of the taste of the age and of the master of the place. It is a fountain over which is Andrea himself, in the character of Neptune, armed with his trident, and guiding his *customary span*. *Modesty, surely, is of* modern growth,—for in the old as well as the mediæval ages, it was not deemed ridiculous for a prince or hero to erect a statue of himself, by which he was elevated to the rank of a god. A Genoese of the sixteenth century, possessor of twenty-two galleys, did not sin against the canons of propriety in borrowing the attributes of the god of the sea. Over another fountain in this garden is a suggestive group—the chief figure being a merman, copied, as we are told, from one that was caught in the adjacent waters!

The age of boasting is, perhaps, the age of magnificence in architecture, and the era of fable the golden age of art. Men who walk upon the stilts of a very tall self-appreciation, may very properly build rooms thirty feet high, and the imagination of artists must be fired by seeing divinity in everything—gods and goddesses in kings and princesses, and mermen and mermaids in the dolphins and porpoises that tumble in the sea. After all, science, truth, knowledge, are great vulgarizers. They are the real iconoclasts, reducing the idols of ignorance and superstition to earth, stone and mortar. Greenough undertook to make a Jupiter of Washington; he must have triumphed in the age of Pericles; but our unimaginative, matter of fact people, seeing the old regimental coat, the cocked hat and the cue, took the statue for that of an old country gentleman driving a pung.

Alas! what shall we do in America for a school of art. Who shall be our painters and our sculptors, and what the subjects of their skill! We have no Andrea Dorias, no Neptunes, no mermen—and no believers in these heroic myths. We have, it is true, Lieut. Hollins and his exploits at Greytown, but sober photograph is quite capable of commemorating their history. We have Gen. Quitman, the announced and promised hero of Cuba, but it would be difficult to immortalize more bulk on canvas or in marble. We have Gen. Cushing and the Mexican Signora, but this is rather romantic than heroic. We have Gov. Marcy, but his deeds belong exclusively to customs, whether they relate to his border campaigns or his European diplomacy. We have Mrs. Bloomer and the Rev. Antoinette Brown, but the one does not rise above the dignity of manlike-making, and the other is wholly merged in the accident of sex.

I can think of no subject fit for the opening of our artistic career, but Barnum, and no place suited to the scene, but Iranistan. Here is a national hero, clothed in imperishable glory by popular enthusiasm. He seems to have taken off the veil and to have revealed and acknowledged himself in a lecture before an intelligent audience, as the *Prince of Humbugs*. He is then our first hero of royal degree. In this capacity he is received with general acclamation. What had hitherto been regarded as the lowest of lying and cheating, is wit and wisdom in one as gilded with success. This, indeed, reaches to the true heroic pitch. Let the statue rise, then, in the halcyon gardens of Iranistan. An Arnaut bust bears the image and superscription of Neptune, let Barnum take the place of the Genoese. Represent him as inside the Woolly Horse, and as exhibiting the original let Joyce Heth, Tom Thumb, and the manufactured man-mastodon, figure in bas relief. Alike for perpetuity and suggestive propriety, let the whole be done in brass. Here, then, in a subject and a design, both in the spirit of our modern epic, and both suited to carry down to the admiration of posterity an American Hero of the Nineteenth Century. Surely, if we can erect such a monument, we may pass by the magniloquent marbles of the middle age demi-gods, wagging our heads.

But this is a daring digression, and with a poor excuse. I trust that the matter of my next will better justify the title—"Off Leghorn."

Dux Tuto.

## EUROPEAN AFFAIRS.

**The Fanatic Fund—Mr. Bright—Curiosities of the Times—The War Feeling as seen in Social Circles—Mr. Buchanan—The Immaculate Conception, &c.**

Special Correspondence of the N. Y. Daily Times.

LONDON, Dec. 1854.

One cannot avoid smiling at some of the ludicrous sides of that otherwise praiseworthy exertion to alleviate the soldier's lot. The ladies of Warrington are called upon to send plum puddings to the Crimea, while other patriotic gentlemen declare it to be England's first duty to send them countless pots of marmalade. We may, surely, be allowed to laugh at such extravagances, especially if we reflect on the length the war may last. I wonder if no one will think of sending out *sweets to take away the bitterness of* a bleak December night in the camp before the scintillated drops of Sebastopol's batteries. Some bottles of perfume might equally be sent to such Generals, who, like Sir England, began to be in a very bad odor. A flacon of *eau de millefleurs* would be equally welcome, one should think, to Admiral Dundas, who must be very fond of such delicate attentions. But I must give you an original sort of a contribution to the patriotic fund. It stands in solitary greatness in the midst of smaller offerings, and towers up high above all the eccentric *gaffs* of modern advertisements. The Oxford *Chronicle* published the following advertisement: "*A Patriotic offer!—Now, Soldier's widows, Look out!*—A widower, of good character, with five children, offers to marry the widow of any soldier slain at Alma. For particulars apply to Mr. Higgs, draper and tailor, South Stoke, Oxon. The above offer is to be considered the contribution to the Patriotic Fund of the widower." The man, judging from the nature of his offer, is surely no member of the Peace Society, but there is a great chance for his becoming so as soon as he should be overmatched.

Poor Mr. Bright! he, to be sure, would not thus encourage the war, though I very much doubt his peaceable propensities. "You may"—said the other day a gentleman to to the honorable member for Manchester—"disclaim as much as you like to be a party to the war, but sure it is, that you are an enemy to your own country." "You know, Sir,"—*replied Mr. Bright*, with a happy presence of mind, to his ministerial censor,—"the French saying: 'May God save me from my friends and I will save myself from my enemies.' I wish England had this chance too!" The salon where this conversation took place is far from being in favor of the peace man's ideas; his repartee, elicited, however, a strong merriment of approbation, much to the confusion of the strongly Aberdeenist gentleman. It is a sign of progress in our manners, that social life and private intercourse have not been envenomed by the divergencies of opinion in respect to such a momentous question as the present war. Persons of very different tendencies meet and converse round some fireplace of neutral hospitality. Peace men sit, to be sure in no good odor just now, when the perfume *à la mode* smells strongly of gunpowder, and it is, no doubt, an awkward affair for John Bright to have his letter of expostulations translated into Russian. Still, the drab-colored policy itself cannot complain of intolerance, as I had occasion to witness it on several occasions, and especially on the said evening, in the house of a warlike man of much notability. I heard, I am sorry to say, a serious pun committed on the same occasion by a lady, (shocking,) who, hearing of the constant ministerial crises in Spain, was not ashamed to remark, that "the Duke of Victory may, if forced to retire into private life, set up as a cabinet-maker." A *mauvais qui peut* general, instantly followed this attempt at Leparero's hidalgo respectability, and the company disparsed in highly good humor.

As I walked down Piccadilly I met two gentlemen, "evidently in high spirits. They kept very close, the one to the other,

"Some souls by instinct to each other turn,"

both being, no doubt, convinced of the necessity of a mutual support. They were singing the *Partant pour la Syrie*, and when they saw me, or rather my beard, they tried to stop—an exertion crowned but with half and very fluctuating success—and cried, "*vive French!* make room for our brave ally!" I passed satisfied that the alliance is, after all, good for something—causing one to be left unmolested by drunkards.

You cannot make a step in London, now-a-days, without encountering some warlike scene or apparatus. Mr. Benjamin, a belligerent tailor in that large *dédale* of cockneys and spurious beauties, Regent-street, has, in his show case, "*reversible waistcoats*, buttoning four different sides." There is something so evidently *revolving* and Colt-like in that idea of a reversible waistcoat, that I was not at *all surprised* to find them recommended as an article of ammunition "for the Crimea."

By-the-by, Colt and india-rubber are constantly rising in the market, and so we are parties to the war *malgré nous*. The other day I happened to enter Hanover-square Rooms, seduced, as I was, by a bill at the entrance, announcing a concert by the Signor Achille Parizi, an Italian maestro. I went in, thinking to refresh my politics-worn mind by some music, and lo! Signor Achille Parizi turned out to give a "fencing concert," a curious novelty, to be sure. Instead of a trio I had a *tierce*, the music being an overture and finale to the *assault*. What shall we have next? Shooting at wild and tame geese at some "small party" in Belgravia.

You will hear by this mail that Europe in general, and Rome in particular, is "intoxicated with joy." Now, joy is certainly better than brandy to be intoxicated with; however, I don't like drunkards in any shape, and so I must protest against my being counted in the number comprised in the collective expression of "Europe." I am not at all intoxicated with anything, however the Pope may declare, for such is the telegraphed reason of such an uncommon jubilee—"the immaculate conception of the Virgin." But, then, you will say, I am an heretic. Just so, and I accept my lot, come what will, although heretics have no pleasant prospects, if I may judge from what a friend of mine writes me from Vienna. He tells me that the Baroness von Bruck and Baroness of Sedlnitzky, who adjured the Roman Catholic faith and entered the Protestant Church, has been excommunicated for taking, by a pastoral letter, issued by the Archbishop of Olmütz, and read on the 21st of November, from all the pulpits. If Austria should now join Protestant England, she may exclaim, in [illegible] of society, "Misery makes me pace with strange bedfellows."

I tell you here nothing of the last treaty, except the speech of a gentleman on the first part of Gladstone's speech. "The Austrians, [illegible] have been intoxicated, [illegible] we are given to swallow in the [illegible] We are to have the Hapsburgs [illegible] and we may consider themselves, for such a disadvantage, by the notification that Brother Jonathan has been bribed from being against us." You see there are here people, who can value their friends.

Mr. Fonblanque's "plain evening dress" has, by the way, attracted the usual attention. His Excellency is decidedly "the lion" of all such "turn outs" in state. Aristocracy never will forgive him for having refused to be a republican "goose in waiting" to her livery-surrounded Majesty. Loyalty objects to his being loyal to his country's enemies, and I heard, moreover, mutterings that "the present American Minister, unlike his predecessors, seems not to be anxious of seizing upon opportunities to demonstrate, by some sympathetic declarations in public, the good understanding and mutual good will between the two countries." These grumblers forget the peculiar nature of these times and that an American Minister, the representative of Republican interest, cannot express sympathies for a cause which may turn out to be but that of despots against the nations.

Lord John's speech caused an immense excitement all over the Continent. The consols fainted away in all directions.

The following is from a continental paper, and is sufficiently characteristic of Spanish manners. The Governor of Gumel has surprised some thirty *ladrofacinoso*, who were going to begin their campaign under the orders of a late colonel of the Carlists. They are all imprisoned. *Apropos* of these ladro-facinoso, the stage-coach of Bayonne has been lately stopped, at a distance of ten miles from Madrid. The passengers have been completely robbed of everything they had. The conductor, named Blondel, a Frenchman of 22 years of age, tried to defend himself; the robbers, *perfect gentlemen*, as they are in this country, do not forgive such boldness. Blondel had great trouble to escape being shot. He owed his life to a Spanish lady, who behaved very energetically, and recombiled the exasperated men by telling them that Blondel is travelling but a short time in Spain, and is not yet acquainted with the customs of the country. It seems that these customs are to the following effect: At the *cry of Alto*, well known to the drivers, the coach must be stopped at once. This is the custom. The robbers take, then, coach and passengers to the field, and there ladies and gentlemen must stand up in one line, watched on its two ends by a highwayman armed with a trumbloc, just as in any modern opera or melo-drama. People who know the custom, take up their places without any direction at all, teaching their less experienced fellow passengers the way to do it. No one ever thinks of opposition. The captain takes, on this, all what he finds of money and jewels, and woe to him who would try *to rob the robbers* by concealing something. The business being done, the passengers are left to extricate their coach from the ditch, and to make their deposition whenever they please to do so. In that last adventure there were *eight* persons in the coach. There were only *four* robbers. If every passenger had defended himself like Blondel, affairs would probably have passed in a different style. *But this is not the custom of the country.*

## FROM KANSAS.

**Affairs in Kansas—The Legislature—The Recent Election for a Congressional Delegate.**

*Correspondence of the New-York Daily Times:*

Fort Leavenworth, Kansas Ty., Dec. 4, 1854.

There is not much stirring at present in this locality. Since the election we have been very quiet. The Governor, and all the other Territorial officers, left here some two weeks since to take up their Winter quarters at the Methodist Mission, near Westport. Navigation on the Missouri River has closed for the season. The weather is cold, and business at a stand. This it will, no doubt, remain until Spring.

The Governor has not yet determined on a place for the Seat of Government. Some two months ago it was thought quite certain that the city of Leavenworth would be selected as the important point; but it may now be stated with *absolute* certainty that Leavenworth will not be selected. Pawnee, at the head of Kansas navigation, near Fort Riley, a city recently laid off on a fine site, may be the lucky place. The chances at present seem to run in that direction.

There is no certainty as to when the election for *members of the Legislature will be ordered. The* Governor intimated some weeks since that it would be delayed until Spring; but this is now by no means certain. A strong effort is being made to induce him to order it at once, or some time during the Winter. Whether he will yield or not, cannot of course be determined. My impression is rather that he will not. If the election for members of the Legislature should be held, nothing in the way of legislation can be accomplished by it until a seat of Government has been designated, and buildings erected for their accommodation. There is not a place now in the Territory outside of the two military establishments, capable of accommodating the Legislature. There are in fact but few places where any other than the roughest accommodations can be had by anybody. At this point, tolerable boarding, for a limited number of persons, is procurable at a very exorbitant price; but it is to be had, even on such terms, only as a favor. A spirit of bitter proscription is evinced here to all Anti-Slavery men, which has been steadily on the increase since the election; and it is quite probable that those of us here who go for freedom, will be allowed, ere long, the freedom of the prairie, unless we search out quarters in some other part of the Territory.

In the recent contest for a Delegate to Congress, the candidates were Robert P. Flenniken, from Pennsylvania, Gen. Whitfield, late Indian Agent, and a Mr. Wakefield, recently of Iowa. Mr. Flenniken and Mr. Wakefield both avowed themselves against the introduction of Slavery. Gen. Whitfield was the Pro-Slavery candidate. Mr. Flenniken was supported by the Governor and his friends, and by the discreet Anti-Slavery men all over the Territory, including the prominent men of Lawrence. Mr. Wakefield got the ultra Abolition vote. We have not yet received the official returns from the different election districts, but the entire vote will, in all probability, sum up somewhat in the following proportions: For Wakefield, 175; for Flenniken, 900; for Whitfield 4,000. Nothing can be determined by this voting as to the number of Anti-Slavery men at present in the Territory. The whole country was overrun on the day of election by hordes of ruffians from Missouri, who took entire possession of the polls in almost every district, bowed-beat and intimidated the judges, forced their own votes into the ballot-box for Whitfield, and crowded out and drove off all who were suspected of being in favor of any other candidate. We are perfectly satisfied that this is the game the ultra Pro-Slavery men of the South intend to play all through in subjecting Kansas to Slavery. We have heard whisperings, for months past, of an extensive secret organization projected by a number of influential Southern leaders, to drive large bodies of voters into this Territory from Missouri, at all the elections. An immense fund has no doubt been raised by subscription, through all the Southern States, to carry out the scheme, and if some decided and vigorous measures, equally effectual, are not resorted to by the friends of freedom, they will find themselves overborne and ruthlessly crushed out of Kansas at the very start, by these mercenary propagandists of Slavery. This is a matter which ought to excite prompt action. I do not know what the development of this desperate expedient of the Pro-Slavery party will effect in the future [illegible] of the Anti-Slavery men. I know it is [illegible] to contest the [illegible] against the [illegible] and corrupt [illegible] of this [illegible] parties either [illegible] of the North [illegible] a deeper and more intense hostility to this high handed movement than the Administration Democracy. They concurred in the repeal of the Missouri Compromise in order solely to establish, in the Territories, what they regard as a great political principle of popular government. They wanted the people of the Territories to govern themselves. The effort of these Southern leaders to force upon the people of this Territory, by fraud and violence, laws and institutions foreign to their wishes, must, therefore, meet with the earnest condemnation of every honest Democrat in the North. Administration Democrats out here—men who have stood by the Kansas-Nebraska bill from the start—do not hesitate to assert their readiness to abandon all the political attachments they have ever cherished, rather than submit to be overwhelmed and trodden under foot by these outside barbarians. If the principle of the Kansas-Nebraska bill cannot be carried out—if in passing from under the authority of Congress, the people of the Territories are to pass under the Government of this or that adjoining State—then we say perish the delusive cry of popular sovereignty, and let Congress resume its reign. Rather than be governed by the slaveholding mobocracy of Missouri, we join the cry for a restoration of the Missouri Compromise.

It is to be hoped that the House of Representatives will not admit Whitfield to a seat as a Delegate from Kansas. The manner of his election should be fully looked into. That "election" was one of the most flagrant outrages ever perpetrated on the rights of a free community. The House of Representatives should pay more respect to its own character, and to the integrity of the laws, than to sanction that outrage by admitting him to a seat. Let us have a full investigation, and decisive action.

WYANDOT.

## FROM TEXAS.

**Hunting Indians—How the Comanches Fight—Rogue Outrages—The Army's Enemies at Home—The $7,000,000 Falling and Alvarez Strengthening—A Brief Famine Panic—"Charles Summerfield."**

*Correspondence of the New-York Daily Times.*

Brownsville, Texas, Monday, Dec. 18, 1854.

Once more under a roof, and once more permitted to enjoy a modicum of the comforts open to civilized white men! My trip, like many others of a similar nature, amounted to a mere consumption of time, with considerable wear and tear of the human frame. Indians in this part of Texas are quite scarce—hunting them don't pay—while in others they are but too numerous and predatory. It is true, as you recently set forth in an editorial, that many of the so called atrocities mentioned in the Texas papers are highly exaggerated, if not false in toto,—but it is also true that in numerous instances these reports are correct. Thus are thefts, murders, brutalities and fiendish abductions that are never brought to light, so that in the aggregate, perhaps, the false and untold reports will balance each other, leaving still as a reality a sad and shameful picture. All the troops—"hot and dragoon"—ordered out in May last, to scour the State, and to be as ubiquitous as humans and horseflesh could well be in such scanty numbers, have very recently been ordered into their permanent posts to refresh and recruit, preparatory to a repetition of the past six months' labors. The result of these labors has been several fights with the Comanches, in one of which Capt. Van Buren, of the Rifles, was shot by an Indian boy, with an arrow sent with such certainty and velocity that it passed clear through the body and belt of Van Buren, inflicting a mortal wound. Some of the forays of the Indians, it is said, are forced by a state of starvation; it is true they show no inclination to fight with the troops, but rather to run; and only turn to give battle when they are too closely pressed.

As they fight with no system, our cavalry are apt to become disconcerted; the trooper, in uncertain how to engage his copper colored enemy; confusion takes the place of regular charges, and the fight becomes irregular and less effective. The Comanche is the best rider in North America; his horse is as obedient to him as his imagination, and as the two twirl round on a spot of ground scarcely larger than a 50-cent piece, the dragoon horse is apt to become restive, and thereby much diminishing the certainty of his rider's aim. The thieves have had a few good lessons, however, and the troops are glad to get a little rest for a month or two. It must be short, for Government affords them no assistance, so much needed and recommended; no other nation seems so indifferent—so willing that a few shall be driven over its vast frontiers, rendering their work ineffective from paucity of numbers. Experience, if sometimes a bitter, is generally a wise teacher, and I say, in all candor, and wishing my Government well, having in view its honor and prosperity, that its force on the Texas frontier, on the emigrant trail to Oregon, and in New-Mexico, is inadequate to the duties expected of it, let alone the injustice of imposing on a few the duties of many, and augmenting by such injustice their hardships and dangers. But this thing has been so often urged by Presidents and Secretaries of both the great political parties, that it is, perhaps, useless to repeat it. It is getting plainer year by year, and cannot be "crushed out" much longer. I have just seen a synopsis of the President's Message; his views on this point are plain enough. His recommendation in regard to the increased compensation to officers has revived a little some buried hopes, but I fear there is too much truth in a friend's remark, that the army can scarcely ever again hope for any favor from the House of Representatives till one-third of it is stretched in its last sleep on some bloody field, or it gets some terrible thrashing. The army has friends, but it also, unfortunately, has enemies who are sleepless and bitter to the end. Its grievances are but too well known in these days, (when promotion is a race of vitality—when captains are seen with silvered heads,) to those who have devoted their talents and youth to its service, and this because, in a great measure, unfitted for other trades or professions. Better days may come; better hearts, if not wiser heads, may rule in the "chief seats" sooner or later, and a charitable hearing be given to petitions which for years have been accumulating in the chamber of the Military Committee.

The revolution here is "quiet as a sleeping infant." The renowned Col. Wheat having observed a pilot boat on Galveston, arrived at Point Isabel not long since—staid here a few days—visited Rio Grande City—and put off again for New-Orleans. Carvajal is somewhere in some; what for, I know not, and will not trouble you with what rumor says. Filibustering begins to be getting a fixed execration, some parties fallen on it. Short work with some of these gentry would have a capital effect. Despotic rule possesses some advantages, in that fact an expedition can start his eyes, even though it is policy or democratic to do so. Most of the revolutionists have accepted Santa Anna's pardon, and crossed the river. Alvarez seems to be steadily pushing his way toward the City of Mexico. The seven millions are fast disappearing, and as they go down he is more likely to go up.

About two weeks ago, the *Neptune*, having barely time to load her mails in a pilot boat at the Brazos, [illegible] to sail before a furious norther. Day after day passed and she did not return; anxiety became alarm, until it was believed by the intelligence that having become disabled she was [illegible] to gentlemen Texians. Rumor [illegible] every shape and [illegible] got out of force, and a kind of panic was the result. Fifty [illegible] a public [illegible] the anchorage of the steamer [illegible] Brooklyn." He failed to come and [illegible] Term, causing much dissatisfaction among the legal fraternity, but is now on hand for the term in May next. Lawyers, members, deputy sheriffs, and [illegible] stables, also turned out to welcome him, so between this influx of force and law the city schools are once more in running order. Both are a costly article, as clients and poor people, like myself, well know. Flour is worth $12, potatoes $10; Bridget, the chambermaid, wants $10; and Biddy, the cook, wont look at you for less than $12 or $15. Money cannot be seen; a kind lady sent me one yesterday, and in addition to my thanks, I could not help blessing the hand that picked it up and put it in the barrel.

The election in New-York created some interest in this region, as indeed in everywhere the cause in which this magnetic queen of the statesmen is to be fought with her chief political champions. Those who have left her rich home to invade here pecuniary or political, still look back with an interest that time or distance never efface. The unequivocal compliment paid to Mr. Raymond by our sturdy brethren, cannot but be gratifying to him in the highest degree.

The Fashion unshipped a load of Government stores here a day or two since and returned immediately to New-Orleans. Report says she is on her more shelly to Key West—perhaps. This is a [illegible] and singular expression of the Anglo-Saxon sentiment toward Cuba, and some unworthy of this heroic blood; but I think it is right enough. When the ball is opened let us be prepared; it may not be with Spain alone that we must deal our blows, which then may be laid on with the heaviest hand we have ever yet raised. A certain alliance bodes us no good.

The Mexican Consul, Joaquin de Castillo, is ordered to San Francisco, [illegible] hoisting his flag. He is an accomplished gentleman, with many friends.

Everybody is talking of Christmas; also, this reminds me of the happiness and good things of the "old folks at home," while here nothing can be thought of beyond a lean turkey, and—something yet in the place of its go much down the [illegible] particles.

National Democratic Convention.

*Correspondence of the New-York Daily Times.*

Washington, D. C., Wednesday, Jan. 3, 1855.

On the reassembling of the Convention this afternoon, the Committee reported the following names for permanent officers, viz.: Hon. David Clopton, of Alabama, for President; Maj. Finlay M. King, of New-York, for Secretary; to whom were added, on motion of Mr. King, the names of Hon. Charles Gilman, of Maryland, and Maj. Benj. B. French, of the District of Columbia, for Vice Presidents; and Hon. Alfred E. Ames, of Minnesota, for additional Secretary.

Hon. A. C. Smith introduced a preamble and set of resolutions declaring the necessity of forming a General Grand Lodge or Supreme Federative head for the United States, which was discussed by Messrs. Smith, Gilman of Maryland, King of New-York, and Frailey of the District of Columbia. Pending the discussion, Mr. King offered a substitute directing the appointment of a Committee of five, of which the President of the Convention should be Chairman, to mature and present to the Convention the plan of a National Confederation as adopted by the Grand Lodges of New-York, Connecticut and Florida, and by the National Convention which was held in Kentucky in 1853.

These projects were discussed at considerable length by Messrs. Gilman, King, Smith, Frailey, Hendrix and French, when, on motion of Mr. King, they were both referred to a Committee.

Jan. 4.—On the reassembling of the Convention this morning communications were received and laid before the body from Messrs. Phillip G. Tucker and Nathan E. Haswell, of Vermont, who had been appointed delegates by the Grand Lodge of that State, but were unable to attend. These letters indicated a willingness on the part of Vermont to unite in a Confederation, should one be formed.

Mr. King, from the Committee to which had been referred the resolutions above mentioned, presented a report embodying the plan of a Confederation, which, after its various provisions had been discussed at much length, and some verbal amendments made to it were unanimously adopted by the Convention.

From the Rio Grande.

*From the New-Orleans Picayune, Dec. 28.*

The Brownsville *Flag* of the 16th inst. publishes a protest against "the late fraudulent elections in Mexico." It is in Spanish, and signed by Ponciano Arriaga, Manuel Gomez, Jose Maria Mata, Andres Trevino and M. Ocampo. Including dances and circulars issued by Santa Anna and his Government, in reference to the elections, it fills four columns. The *Flag* pronounces it an able document.

In reference to the revolutionary feelings and movements in Eastern Mexico, the *Flag* has the following paragraph:

"On this frontier for the present there is quiet, though how long it will remain thus alone can tell. General Caravajal arrived here per steamer Comanche a few days since, and rumor says he is very soon to head another movement, organized on a new and liberal basis. But rumor is not always to be believed.

The late severe weather of Brazos Santiago had been productive of quite a political state of affairs with respect to a supply of bread. The *Flag* says:

"Owing to the recent rough weather, which has vented the entrance of vessels, our merchants had exhausted their supplies of flour, and quite a panic prevailed through fear that we were to be without this important staff of life. Flour, we are told, went up to $20 and even to $30 per barrel, and finally none was to be had at any price. The bakers, or those of them who had a supply, were reaping a golden harvest by supplying the hungry mouths of two cities with half loaves at double price. The opportune entrance of the steamer *Neptune*, however, with large supplies, will again make matters to rights.

The following items are from the *Flag* of the 23d inst.:

A detachment of United States troops arrived here to-day on the steamer *Georgiana*, and left immediately for Rio Grande City.

Last evening, about 10 o'clock, the still small hours of night were rendered listless by the dismal howlings and execrable conduct of a lot of the political exiles of Mexico, now enjoying a refuge under our flag and the hospitality of our people. The conduct of these men is so flagrant violation of law and a malicious abuse of hospitality. A party of them, as we learn, was headed by an American, and numbered something near a hundred persons, who having provided themselves with a drum and bugle, with all the muddiness of boisterous sociality, vociferously marched through several of our streets to the river, [illegible] wailing fast vivas for their cause, and shouting [illegible] and defiance to the guard on the other bank.

Now, we would ask, these noisy bluntoons what possible good can result from this conduct? A very rapacious act it could not be considered, but rather it was very unusually, as not only the hour of night [illegible] but the laws of a neutral nation protected them from harm. True courage would seek other channels through which to manifest itself than by skulking beneath the skirts of a powerful government. One only, and among others offensive to both in its nature, and force as obnoxious of the Government of the United States, violated the municipal laws, when they came to be unusually disrespected.

Rev. Andrew Hunter Rennie [illegible] Church of Lewisburg, Union County, Pa., on the 2d inst. Rev. Albert Barnes, the father of the candidate, preached the ordination sermon.

## THE WANTS OF THE POOR.

(The Seamstress's Story.

A widow woman was in Annual in West Thirty-second-street, with the mother of two of the children in the Thirty-seventh-street Industrial School. The boy was thirteen—one 8 and the other 10 years old—have assisted on little boxes before the fire, sitting on their patch-work as pretty as if their lives depended on it. They even very nearly dressed, (with clothes from the School,) and had clean faces and clean hands, and looked up with such pretty little blue eyes as I came in! The room was swept and quite clean, and there was an old worn mahogany bureau in one corner. The mother was in great trouble, and after awhile she told me her history. "I was married, Sir, you see, to a respectable mechanic as ever lived. He was a man who understood himself. I brought him in $500 when we married, and all went on well, and we had five children—these two, and that little boy, and the baby there, and an other boy as works out. But I never thought it would come to this! People used to say if I was industrious and stuck to the house, I should come out right, and now I see I am worse off than them as is gay and flighty."

"Did he drink, Mrs. ——?" "Oh, no, Sir! I'll say that for him. But that woman was at the bottom of it. And I shouldn't care if it we'n't for them children there. I cannot bear to think of them hungry or sittin' on the stoots. I have always kept them in good habits. They have always been to Sunday School. But now, Sir, I can find no work. My oldest boy only gets 75 cents a day, where he used to get a dollar, and I've looked and looked for work. I could scrub or wash, or do any sort of plain sewing. What do you think, Sir?—last Sunday them poor little girls—sweet lines!"—and her eyes overflowed with tears, and her voice choked—"they *had nothin' to eat* from 3 o'clock Saturday afternoon till 3 o'clock Sunday afternoon! I didn't care for myself, Sir, but those innocent things! They was no work, and I couldn't go out in that storm, and I had no shoes neither. And my little boy there—he never could beg; he cannot get along in the streets at all. The big boys all abuse him and come over him. At last I sent him over to the School, and the teacher (Miss Kilpatrick) came and brought me some bread, and gave me her rubbers to go out in. And I went out to the dumpin' ground, you know they call it, Sir, and I've picked up all the cinders which we burn. I don't care for myself. Many's the time I've been without for them's whole day long. But now, poor things!—if I should ever desert ——," and she was choked again by her sobs. The two little things looked up from the patch-work with happy blue eyes, as though they could not understand the mother's feeling, and had already utterly forgotten last week's famishing hunger.

"And now they tell me, Sir, you are a-goin' to give up the school?" "Yes," I said, "the hard times do not let us have funds enough to keep it up; but we hope to start it again." "I am sorry, Sir; it was my great help; the teacher took such a care of these children; and they all got their dinner there (only a large piece of bread;) and I used to go without through the day, and make my supper with 'em, when they come home. I always fear we must come to the poor-house. Oh! if he hadn't been beguiled by *her!* We were respectable people once, Sir!"

Think of this, children! Sunday before last, in that fearful storm, when you were having your good Sunday dinner before a blazing fire, these sweet little girls were shivering with cold, with nothing to eat for twenty-four hours, and not knowing where they should get their bread that day. The children too of an honest, respectable woman, who had been in better days. You have known what it is to be hungry, for an hour or two—but to go to bed and get up for a whole day, without a morsel of food—and not knowing who could help—is it not hard? But hear this, too.

THE SCHOOL GIRL.

On the same day, Friday, of last week, I found a very poor little girl at the school, and went back with her to her house. She had been clinging to the Teacher, Miss Kilpatrick, in sorrow that the school was to be given up, and the dear friend to go away. I doubt whether she ever had any one to care much for her before, for she seemed very neglected, and her eyes had a heavy, sad look, which the children of the poor show when they have suffered a long time.

I went out with her in that cold, cutting day—Friday, you remember, the coldest day of this month. We walked over snow and ice for half a mile; the little girl *here-footed*, and with nothing on her head but her tangled hair.

The wind seemed to whistle through her torn dress and old ragged shawl. She did not complain though, but said "her feet hadn't froze yet!" When we went into the room where her mother lived, I could see nothing, the smoke was so thick; but after a little it cleared up, and I found a woman before a little coal stove. There was not a single article of furniture in the room, but one chair and one old table. No bedstead, no dishes, no clock, or clothes or little articles about, such as you see usually in the poorest houses—everything bare, and poor and dirty. In one corner of a closet was a straw bed on the floor, for the family to sleep on. The woman's name was Mrs. Eakin; she was the wife of a laboring man, and he earned just enough to give them victuals and fuel.

She had one "innocent (idiot) boy" beside this girl—and the school and the dinner had been a great help to her—the girl had never been to school before.

And yet now, at the beginning of a hard Winter, we must give up the school, and leave these poor children! Again and this, children.

THE IRON MOULDER.

One day, passing down Twentieth-street, I met a bright little girl, selling wax-matches and buttons. I found her name, and that she lived in West Thirty-second-street. She was an orphan, she said, and lived with her aunt. I told her of our Hudson River Industrial School in Twenty-eighth-street, and she promised to go there.

As she did not come, yesterday I went up to her house. The room was in the top story, and a very respectable, neatly-dressed young woman opened the door. Everything in the room was as neat as good care could make it. The floors white with scrubbing, hearth swept, window clean and all looking like the home of an honest, cleanly, working woman. There was a nice large mirror on one side, and a mahogany case of drawers, and some pretty dishes in a closet which showed they had been once in good circumstances. I soon fell into conversation with the woman about her condition, and she opened everything to me with overflowing heart.

Her husband was a young man, an iron-moulder. He had been in good business, but like so many thousands, he was now turned out of work. He spent whole days near looking for employment. That morning he was out at 5 o'clock, and would have nothing to eat all day until he got home at night. Some days he got a little job driving pigs, and made a dollar or two. "Indeed, Sir, I don't know what to do!" she said; "I have tried every way to get work. I am a good ironer or washer, or I could scrub and do plain sewing; but I cannot find any one who wants me. Some of the ladies don't believe me, and say I ain't married at all, I am so young; and some say I must work out; but indeed I cannot give up keeping a home together for him and poor Maggie there! It isn't for myself—but it's so hard to have my husband go out without a bit of breakfast, and come home to a cold room and nothing to eat—he looks so discouraged sometimes!" and the poor woman burst into tears. "We cannot tell any of the people in the house, they are poor as we are. To-day I have been in and all day, till Maggie should come back, for I have no fuel, and not a penny to buy it with."

This brief conversation did nothing at the worst, spoke of her husband was completely unnerving. I turned to Maggie. "What have you made to-day, Maggie?" "Two and six, Sir." "How far have you been?" "Down to the Battery, Sir. I buys 'em in Bowle-street; they are wax, Sir, and will go off when they're wet;" and she dipped one in water and struck it off. "I gives a cent and a half a box, Sir, and sells 'em for three cents. Sometimes I don't make three cents a day; and sometimes two shillings. They buys 'em best in the stores; and sometimes the gentlemen asks me questions, and they don't believe I'm an orphan and working for my aunt, and then they comes here and finds out all."

"What time do you go out?" "At 7 o'clock, Sir, and I goes back about half-past four." "Do you get anything to eat all day?" "No, Sir; I don't want it. I ain't ever hungry." Maggie certainly thrived on the exercise, for she was fat and ruddy as you would wish to see a child. "But," said I to the aunt, "is it possible you depend now on this girl's work?" She said that she did—that otherwise she would have sent her to the Industrial School; but she could not afford it. She sent her to night-school, and on Sundays. "Maggie comes of honest people, Sir, and we will never let her beg." "And I," says Maggie, "always go way down town, where people won't know me."

For my own part, I could hardly keep my tears while the woman was talking. We see enough of whining and lying poverty, of begging and of ignorant pauperism, which we would gladly help while we despise. But this young, warm-hearted, active, honest woman, so hopeless and so pressed down—this brave, cheery little girl, and the industrious workman, hunting the street day after day for mere work for bread; the aspect of happier days once, and of hard, manly struggle now, was all affecting beyond any possibility of description. "*To beg unable, and to beg ashamed*"—is there any Poverty like that? The hard straits of honest industry—what is more pitiable?

I said whatever encouraging word I could, and promised to seek work for the woman; and gave the little girl a recommendation. So that if the little match girl—Maggie Magee—with wax matches appears anywhere, we beg for customers for her for the sake of the honest poor who depend for food and bread on her hard earned pennies.

I cannot in any way express the sense I have of the present condition of the New-York working classes. There will be a cry of hunger this Winter, which will echo in all our ears. And worse, there will be the biting poverty which no eye sees, which does not beg alms on the street, but suffers in helpless silence.

Every one must rouse himself to meet these evils—*work*, work, is what is wanted.

Let no useless economy be practiced in wealthy houses because these are "hard times," and work be done there which the poor should do.

Seamstresses, washing-women, mechanics, beg for employment. There are scores now of names of honest women on our books, who could be doing all common jobs about a house. No lady of means should think, in such times, of taking this labor from poor hands. It is so much bread from hungry mouths.

"God help the poor," but let men help them too.

C. L. B.

CHILDREN'S AID SOCIETY, Clinton Hall, Astor Place.

## RELIEF FOR THE POOR.

### Third and Fifth Wards.

A meeting of several influential gentlemen connected with the Relief Association of the Third and Fifth Wards, was held on Friday evening at the Fifth Ward Hotel, West Broadway. The public, probably, is not aware that relief to the destitute and needy in these Wards has been furnished for the last two weeks. At that meeting last evening, Alderman HOFFMIRE of the Fifth Ward, was called to the Chair, and Mr. A. F. LEGRAVE appointed Chairman.

Alderman BLUNT, of the Third Ward, moved that a standing Committee be appointed to go round to solicit, and also to receive subscriptions. The motion was adopted, and the following gentlemen appointed:

*Third Ward*—Aldermen Orison Blunt, No. 50 Murray-street; Benjamin F. Clarke, Merchant's Hotel, Cortlandt-street; Charles A. Stetson, Astor House; Wm. Young, No. 59 Barclay-street; —— Trodwell, College-place; J. P. Ottersted, Reade-street.

*Fifth Ward*—Alderman Hoffmire, No. 2 Jay-street; Francis E. Tillou, Leight-street; Francis F. Ingrave, No. 177 Duane-street; Robert L. Mayhew, Erie Buildings; Peter M. Schenck, corner of Greenwich and Watts-street; Jas Kennedy, No. 169 Duane-street; Abram M. Benningt, No. 259 Greenwich-street; Wm. Francis, No. 48 White-street; J. B. Arguier, No. 44 Thomas-street; Wm. Trendwell, No. 171 Duane-street; Wm. Adams, No. 186 Church-street; Philip Teetz, No. 308 Greenwich.

The above gentlemen are not only allowed to gather funds, but they will also be furnished with cards to distribute to the needy, according to the necessity of the case. The following is inscribed on the ticket:

THIRD AND FIFTH WARD
RELIEF ASSOCIATION,
Nos. 44 and 46 Anthony-street.
ASSIST THE BRAVE.

Alderman BLUNT stated that since their association had gone into operation, they had relieved on an average at least 450 persons per day. The article supplied was good, wholesome, fresh soup and beef, and the recipients never quarreled about the quality, though they often grumbled at the quantity. On New-Year's Day they distributed 350 loaves in addition to the regular supply of soup. There was same difference between the arrangement of their relief from that of other Wards. They furnished soup on Sunday as well as other days.

Alderman HOFFMIRE said that $155 had been subscribed in the Fifth Ward. The object of the meeting was to enable them, if possible, to establish two places where relief might be afforded to the poor.

After some desultory conversation, two Treasurers were appointed: Alderman HOFFMIRE of the Fifth Ward, and Alderman BLUNT of the Third Ward. The meeting adjourned to meet next Monday evening, at the Old Baptist Church, Nos. 44 and 46 Anthony-street, where the Association deals out its relief to the poor.

### Soup-House in the Third and Fifth Wards.

A soup-house was established a fortnight since at No. 44 Anthony-street near West Broadway, for the purpose of supplying the destitute of the Third and Fifth Wards with food during the present period of want. About 400 persons have been supplied daily. The benevolent enterprise was began under the patronage of ex-Alderman BLUNT, ex-Councilman YOUNG, Alderman HOFFMIRE, Councilman SCHENCK, Col. STETSON of the Astor House, and other prominent citizens of those Wards. A meeting of citizens willing to cooperate with the Association is to be held this evening at the Soup-House.

### Soup-House in Read-street.

Messrs. A. T. STEWART & Co., with commendable philanthropy, have recently opened a soup-house in the basement of their store in Reade-street, where such of the poor as apply are served with a good quality of nutritious food between the hours of 12 and 2 o'clock daily. The room was opened for the first time on Friday last, and although but little notice was given of the fact, over 600 of the destitute came to the place and were served on that day. On Saturday the number served exceeded 900, and, no doubt, the number will be added to every day. Several of the young gentlemen of Messrs. STEWART & Co.'s establishment voluntarily officiate in dispensing the soup, and present a rather novel appearance in their white aprons and sleeves. We understand it is the intention to keep the soup-house open during the season.

### Twelfth Ward.

An adjourned meeting of the Twelfth Ward Relief Association was held in Ostrander's Hall, Grand-street, Friday evening. Mr. JOHN B. RYER was occupied the Chair; Mr. WM. H. MCCORKLE, Secretary. The different committees made reports of proceedings. The Treasurer reported that the sum in his hands amounted to $400. An amount of other business appertaining to the management of the Society was transacted. The Association is actively at work in the performance of the objects for which it was formed—the relief of the poor of the Twelfth Ward.

### Twentieth Ward.

The second meeting of the Twentieth Ward Relief Association, was held Friday evening at Continental Hall; Alderman TUCKER in the Chair. The Secretary, J. F. WILLIAMS, stated that a depôt would be opened on Monday for the reception and distribution of money, food and clothing, at No. 176 Thirtieth-street. Five families have already availed themselves of Mr. FOWLER'S offer of tenements. The workmen of Mr. GEIS'S piano factory have agreed to pay the Association $15 per week. The Association intend to establish a soup kitchen, and will honor the tickets of the visitors of the Poor Association. Donations have been received to the amount of between $300 and $200, and subscriptions were made last evening to the amount of $40 per week. The meeting adjourned to Thursday next, at the same place.

### The Children of the Poor.

We are happy to state that a large number of the copies of the *New Bond of Love*, as announced by us on the 29th of December as having been committed to our office by its generous author, for the benefit of the children of the poor, have been already purchased. But as we understand that on the first day or two after our notice several persons were disappointed in obtaining copies, and as that notice may have escaped the attention of many of the charitable and good, we desire again to awaken attention to an object which unites direct benefit to the many destitute and forlorn children cast on the sympathy of the benevolent at this trying season, with the intention of inspiring in others feelings of gentleness and charity towards their fellow-men. The *New Bond of Love*, price only twenty-five cents, lies at our office for sale.

### Brooklyn Relief.

A society under the name of the Howard Benevolent Society has been organized in the Eastern District of Brooklyn (late Williamburg.) The object is to seek out and render assistance in various ways to deserving persons. The following officers have been chosen:

*President*—Mrs. CHAS. BOWEN.
*Vice President*—Mrs. WM. H. JENKINS.
*Treasurer*—Mrs. CAROLINE N. DU VALL.
*Secretary*—Miss ABBY B. MARTIN.
*Directors*—Mrs. ALLAIRE, Mrs. CRAVEN, Mrs. S. O. MARTIN, Mrs. WEEMSES.
*Visiting Committee for January*—Mrs. C. N. DU VALL, Miss C. COBBS.
*Counselors*—Messrs. ALFRED HALL, THOS. HOOPER, H. H. SNOTS, WM. H. JENKINS, WM. DU VALL.

### The Poor in Jersey City.

Mr. MERSELES, the proprietor of the Jersey City and Bergen Omnibus lines, has offered to give one day's receipts to the poor—one-third to the poor of Jersey City; one-third to those of Bergen; and the remainder to the poor of North Bergen. The proceeds of his line from Hoboken to Bergen Corners will be devoted to the poor of Hoboken.

### A Suggestion.

NEW-YORK, Saturday, Jan. 6, 1855.

*To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:*

In this time of distress, and while the charitable are casting about for the means of reaching a helping hand to the sufferers, permit me to suggest the following:

Let the most suitable place in the City be obtained, and let there be an *exhibition of such works of art* as can be procured.

If a suitable and responsible Committee is appointed, there are hundreds of fine paintings and statues which rich and benevolent owners would loan for such a sacred cause.

Let the exhibition include works of all distinguished *American artists*, to begin with.

Let WEST, COPLEY, NEWTON, POWERS, CLEVINGER, GREENOUGH, and the host of their distinguished fellows, be represented; and if any families have a TENIERS, or a RUBENS, or a REMBRANDT, or a REYNOLDS, or a LAWRENCE, or a VERNET, adorning their walls, the writer is much mistaken if they will not with alacrity furnish them for the relief of distress, while they will at the same time gratify those whose tastes will be improved and delighted by the display.

The writer has no acquaintance among artists or amateurs, but no one can doubt that such an enterprise would be highly successful. S.

# NEW-YORK CITY.

## TURKEY.

### Lecture by Hon. Geo. P. Marsh.

A lecture by Hon. GEO. P. MARSH, for the Mercantile Library Association, was delivered on Friday evening, in Clinton Hall, before an audience equally enthusiastic and brilliant. His subject was one with which his recent opportunities of Eastern experience have rendered him peculiarly conversant—The topography of Constantinople and its neighborhood, and the social peculiarities of the Ottoman capital. He began by describing in brief but comprehensive terms the prominent historical and modern political features that belong to the Levantine shores of the Mediterranean, than which no country, he said, could present more mental, mythical, religious, historical, romantic and classical associations, or had passed through a more remarkable round of vicissitudes. Constantinople, the present capital of the Turkish State, was indeed the seat of the first Christian empire, and the forum of the jurisprudence of JUSTINIAN, but it was never virtuous, free or enlightened. Its future offers but a single ground of hope; the Christianization of the Ottoman Empire—an event not so distant, perhaps, as foreigners suppose. Of all the races now to be found in Turkey, the Armenians are the only people among whom any marks of virtue, integrity and hopeful character can be found. The prevalent impressions of foreigners as to the cruelty, indolence, mental inferiority, fanaticism and destructiveness of the Turkish people were asserted to be exaggerations of the truth. If Turkey had often wronged the Christians, she had sometimes, at least, been outraged by them. The general opinion with regard to Turkish cruelty had been derived from the brutal conduct of the Janissaries who were suppressed by Sultan MAHMOUD, in 1826. And as to the charge of general viciousness against the Turkish people, the extent of the truthfulness of that charge might be understood from the fact that crime was much more frequent, and far more serious in the Christian suburbs of Constantinople than in the city proper, where the Turks have their residences. The alleged destructive tendencies of the Turks as exhibited in their contempt for the fine arts, was next referred to and argued against. The indolence of the race was accounted for by the fact that that is a more marked characteristic of the inhabitants of Constantinople than of the people of any other section of the Empire, owing to the want of facilities for agriculture existing in that city and its suburbs, and to the general discouragement of home manufactures. Turkey had one claim on the sympathies of all free people. For three hundred years she has served as the common asylum for the European banished patriots, on whom she has never shut her door. The original good qualities of the Turk have been alloyed and obscured by evil communication with Christian fellow-subjects. This baleful influence of the selfishness of Christian adventurers destroys all political hope in the breast of the Turk. Christianity, however, has recently been introduced into Constantinople in its purest forms, and the Bible has been translated into the Turkish language, and is widely diffused. The influence of Mohammedanism is such that it can only be overturned by repeated blows aimed against it as a civil and social institution, and not as a religion. The regard which the Ottoman pays to religion is such, that when he has accepted the Christian faith, he will make it a great social power, and consider it the basis of his political institutions. In describing the character of the Greek, the lecturer was aware that he would shock many long cherished prejudices. The Greek has never shown himself capable of strong moral perception, or even high moral principle. He neither understands nor appreciates truth in life, science or art. An intense vanity has found its home in the mind the only just Sclave head of an intellectual nature. Nowhere there is no people in whose lives it would be more difficult to detect the presence of a religious principle. The present Greeks, the successors of those who were not the descendants of the Ancient Greeks, and had no more claim to a descent from the Hellenes than we have to a descent from the Indians. They are a mixture of Sclavonian and Albanian. Western Europe cannot commit a greater mistake than constitute the Greeks the predominant power in Turkey. If Turkey is to pass into Christian hands, it ought to be organized with a free Constitution, forbidding the establishment of a national form of religion. The lecturer then proceeded at length to describe the physical characteristics and topographical peculiarities of Constantinople and the surrounding neighborhood. He proceeded to give a very interesting description of social manners and customs, and concluded by expressing a hope that Turkey would be erected into a free and independent Turco-Christian State. He resumed his seat amid much applause.

### Lecture on Christian Education.

A lecture on the above subject was delivered by F. A. PACKARD, Esq., of Philadelphia, at the Broadway Tabernacle, on Friday evening, and was attended by a large number of the Sabbath School superintendents and teachers of the City. The subject was presented in a strong, practical manner, indicating great familiarity with the whole subject, furnishing from a mature, well furnished mind, rich materials for thought and reflection. The next lecture, on the internal economy of Sunday Schools, will be at the Allen-street Presbyterian Church, on Friday evening, the 12th inst.

### A Lecture on the Mosquito Coast.

The "Kinney Expedition" is now exciting a good deal of interest. In consequence there will be a good deal of curiosity to hear anything that is likely to inform the public as to what the spot is like in which Col. KINNEY purposes to locate his colony. A lecture on the subject will be delivered at the Stuyvesant Institute this evening, at 8 o'clock, by RUFUS D. PITCHER, who was two years a resident of the Mosquito Coast. Tickets 25 cents each. Can be had at the principal hotels, and at the door.

### Society for the Reformation of Juvenile Delinquents.

The annual meeting of the members of the Society for the Reformation of Juvenile Delinquents was held on Friday evening at No. 516 Broadway. ROBERT KELLY, President, occupied the Chair. The annual report of the Executive Committee showed what had been done during the year past. The removal to Randall's Island was the most important feature. A small indebtedness, the report stated, yet remained. It is in contemplation to memorialize the Legislature for a further appropriation to complete forthwith the proposed female department. When the enterprise as contemplated is completed, the income of the institution, the report expresses confident belief, will more than exceed the expenses. Allusion is made in the report to MANLON DAY, one of the former managers of the institution, who with his wife was lost in the *Arctic*. In losing his earnest coöperation and the benefit of his wise and manly counsels, the Society felt that it had sustained an irreparable loss.

The following were the officers chosen for the ensuing year:

*President*—ROBERT KELLY.
*Vice-Presidents*—SHEPARD KNAPP, ISRAEL RUSSELL, CHARLES M. LEUPP, JOSHUA S. UNDERHILL, JOHN A. WEEKS, JAMES N. COBB.
*Treasurer*—JOSHUA S. UNDERHILL.
*Secretary*—ANDREW WARNER.
And thirty Managers.

### Raising of the Ship Joseph Walker.

Operations at the wreck of the *Joseph Walker*, which has been for a year past at the pier next Bridge-street Ferry, on the East River, have been suspended for two weeks past, on account of the sinking of an old brig hulk directly over the wreck, which brig had a rent of 6 by 8 inches in her run aft, and her seams generally were quite open. Capt. LEWIS conceived the idea of bringing the ship up in the same manner as the *Boston* was recently hoisted to the surface in the Missouri River. One of GWYNNE'S pumping engines was secured for this purpose, and pumping commenced on Friday morning. Three-fourths of a ton of coal was consumed in fuel; and in time, an hour was needed to lower the water the first six inches. Eighteen inches were accomplished the second hour, four feet the third hour, and during the remaining hour and a half the last twelve feet were discharged. It is now intended to pump up the hulk of the sunken ship itself, and a larger sized pumping engine has been secured to do the work.

### The New Administration.

Those who have been accustomed to violate the ordinances, are beginning to fear that Mayor Woon means what he says. Yesterday, the first Sunday since the inauguration of the new administration, witnessed the closing of nearly all of the dram-shops in this City, whilst the few which were open presented outwardly the contrary appearances.

The register at the Mayor's Office grows formidable in its array of statements of grievances from which our citizens have been suffering. The number of complaints increases daily. They are made against individuals, contractors, corporations, railroads, officers and others, and embrace charges of encumbering the streets, avenues and sidewalks, of maintaining nuisances, of allowing the streets to remain in a filthy condition and neglected at night, &c. The prompt action of Mayor Woon has already been attended with good results, and it is to be hoped that he may succeed in his efforts to improve the condition of our City.

### The New Police Commissioners.

The new Board of Commissioners of Police, consisting of the Mayor, City Judge and Recorder, is to meet on this day to make arrangements for a more perfect system of organization. It is the determination of the Board to dispense with the services of the Chief's Clerk, who has acted temporarily for a year or more, and appoint a permanent Clerk. The Commissioners intend to make diligent inquiry into the action of the four "Police Doctors" who, under the present system, have supreme power in regard to all appointments of Police Officers; each nominee being required to pass the Physicians' examinations before receiving his warrant from the Chief of Police. It is also understood that a general overhauling in regard to the detailed Policemen at Mr. MATSELL'S office and elsewhere, will take place. In connection with this matter, it may be well to state, that important trials were delayed by the old Board, which should have been called on months ago. A long time ago, serious charges were preferred against Captain ACKERMAN of the Ninth Ward Police, for dereliction of duty respecting the prize fight at the foot of Amos-street.

### Arraigned for Disrespect to the Mayor.

On Friday morning, information was received by Mayor Woon that an *attache* of Chief MATSELL'S office was sitting below stairs, and using very disrespectful language in relation to his Honor the Mayor. The "Star" was suddenly surprised by the receipt of an order to appear before the Mayor. He was questioned as to his conduct, and did not deny the charge; but said the remarks were made in a casual manner, without the least idea of disrespect to his superior officer. The Mayor finally overlooked the matter, but assured the officer that he was determined to enforce his orders to the Police, even in matters that might be considered trivial in themselves.

### Illegal Intelligence Office—The Mayor Again.

On Friday morning a complaint was made before the Mayor, against a German named ADOLPH FISCHER, for keeping an illegal intelligence office in Duane-street. It was shown he had no license and his Honor ordered officer Kennedy to close the office, and take down the sign.

### Serious Affray in a Bowling Saloon.

Yesterday morning, about 11 o'clock, a serious affray occurred in the saloon of Mr. TRAYS, under Wallack's Theatre, Broadway, which resulted in the parties drawing revolvers and attempting to shoot each other. It appears that a returned Californian named JAMES TURNER, accompanied by LOUIS BAKER, a police officer, entered the premises, and while in the present saw a dispute arose between TURNER and TOM HYER, about some trivial matter. While hard words were passing between them, HYER brushed up against TURNER; TURNER immediately remonstrated with him, when HYER again repeated the act, and gave him a push. At this time TURNER became enraged at such conduct, and drew from his coat pocket a six-barreled revolver, and challenged HYER to fight. HYER was observed to put his hand in his pocket, as if in search of some weapon, when both parties clinched. TURNER aimed his pistol and pulled the trigger, but it snapped, and in return, HYER fired his revolver, without effect. The by standers became alarmed and quickly made their egress from the saloon, and in order to prevent the parties from again coming in collision, officer BAKER interfered, and the noise being heard outside by officers WARD and BRADLEY, they hastened into the saloon and arrested both HYER and TURNER. They were conveyed to the Eighth Ward Station-house, and committed by Capt. TURNBULL. A short time subsequently, however, Justice BOGART appeared at the Station-house, and discharged the defendants on bail. Early in the morning HYER and TURNER were arraigned before Judge DAVIDSON, at the Jefferson Police Court, where a complaint was made by officer BAKER against HYER, who was held to bail in the sum of $300 to answer.

### Fires and Serious Losses.

About 3 o'clock on Saturday morning a fire broke out in the two story frame building situated at No. 332 Forty-third-street, owned and occupied by WILLIAM SNULLINS, as a sheepskin leather factory. This building was entirely destroyed. The fire spread to the dwelling-house of Mr. SNULLINS, in the rear of the factory, which was considerably injured. There were also two adjoining buildings, owned by OWEN COYLE and JOHN A. SMITH, damaged by the fire, as was also a frame building on the rear of Forty-fourth-street, belonging to DANIEL MCDONALD. The total loss is estimated at upwards of $4,000, which is said to be covered by insurance in the St. Nicholas, Broadway, Equitable, Greenwich, and People's Insurance Companies. . . In the evening, near 8 o'clock, a fire was discovered in the case store of HENRY RHODE, No. 174 Broadway, corner of Maiden-lane, and under the Howard Hotel. The firemen were soon at the premises, and extinguished the fire before it had time to extend beyond the store. It seems that Mr. RHODE left the store a few minutes after 7 o'clock, his boy having extinguished the gas light, locked the door, and gave him the key, and at 7½ o'clock the fire was discovered in the store. Mr. RHODE had quite a large stock of goods in his store, on which he has an insurance of $4,000, $3,000 of which is in the Mercantile Fire Insurance Company, and the balance in the Empire City Insurance Company. The damage is supposed to be between $2,000 and $3,000.

### Sad Accidents.

On Saturday morning, a German woman named LIENTEN, while passing along Greenwich-street, with a child about three years old in her arms, accidently fell into a cellar-way near Liberty-street, by which her child was nearly killed, and herself so badly hurt that her recovery is considered doubtful. Some persons passing at the time of the accident, assisted her to the drug-store of Dr. WEITTENMAN, No. 152 Greenwich-street, where she and her child were provided with all possible relief. One of the arms of the child was broken and its face badly disfigured. The mother was injured internally, and had great difficulty in breathing. After being bled she revived, and, with her child, was removed to the boarding-house at which she has been staying since she arrived here from Germany, about three weeks since. Her sister, now sick, is the only friend she seems to have. . . Coroner HILTON held an inquest at the New-York Hospital, upon the body of a child named HIRAM DORSEY, who came to his death by being run over by one of the Second-avenue cars, at the corner of Oliver and Water-streets, on the 5th instant. As the accident seemed to have been beyond the power of the driver of the car, no carelessness having been made apparent on his part.

### Fugitive from New-Jersey.

Yesterday morning, officer O'BRIEN, of the Chief's office, arrested a man named BENJAMIN LEAK, *alias* JOHNSON, who stands charged with the crime of burglary and larceny in Paterson, New-Jersey. LEAK was taken back by the Marshal of that city and his aid, officer DOUGLAS.

### The Bay and Rivers.

There were no arrivals yesterday, owing to the dense fog.

The Wall-street ferry-boats to Brooklyn, and the Staten Island boats did not run. On each of the other ferries there was but one boat used. There were no accidents or collisions during the day.

### Young Men's Democratic Union Club.

The Young Men's Democratic Union Club held a meeting on Saturday evening for the transaction of business, the details of which were not allowed to transpire. There were less than a dozen members present.

### City Mortality.

The following is the WEEKLY REPORT OF DEATHS in the City and County of New-York, from the 30th day of December, 1854, to the 6th day of January, 1855: Men, 97; women, 116; boys, 143; girls, 116; total, 471.

DISEASES.

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Abscess of Liver | 1 | Debility | 11 | Infion. of liver | 1 |
| Apoplexy | 1 | Delirium tremens | 1 | Killed by man | 1 |
| Asthma | 1 | Diarrhœa | 1 | Lung | 1 |
| Atrophy | 7 | Dropsy | 6 | Malformation of | |
| Bright's disease of kidneys | 2 | Dropsy in head | 11 | the heart | 1 |
| Bowels, disease of | 2 | Dropsy in chest | 2 | Malformation of | |
| Bleeding from lungs | 1 | Drowned | 2 | the spine | 1 |
| Bleeding from stomach | 1 | Dysentery | 2 | Marasmus | 11 |
| Bleeding from the Lungs | 1 | Enlargement of the heart | 1 | Measles | 1 |
| Bleeding from wound | 1 | Erysipelas | 2 | Mortification | 1 |
| Burned or scalded | 4 | Exposure (cold) | 1 | Mortification of | |
| Cancer of Womb | 1 | Fever | 2 | the lungs | 1 |
| Cancer of stomach | 1 | Fever, bilious | 1 | Palsy | 1 |
| Carbuncle | 1 | Fever, nervous | 1 | Premature birth | 1 |
| Cholera | 2 | Fever, puerperal | 2 | Pleurisy | 1 |
| Consumption | 72 | Fever, scarlet | 2 | Putrefaction disease | 1 |
| Convulsions | 21 | Fever, typhoid | 6 | Rupture of the bowels | 1 |
| Convulsions, puerperal | 1 | Fever, typhus | 1 | Scrofula | 1 |
| Croup | 1 | Fever, remittent | 1 | Small pox | 1 |
| Cancer's of breast | 1 | Fever, scarlet | 2 | Spinal disease | 1 |
| Cancer's of lungs | 1 | Fever, typhoid | 6 | Still born | 18 |
| Cancer's of bowels | 1 | Fever, typhus | 1 | Suicide | 1 |
| Cancer's of chest | 1 | Fever, remittent | 1 | Teething | 1 |
| Cancer's of liver | 1 | Fever, scarlet | 2 | Thrush, overlaying | 1 |
| Congestion | 1 | Fever, typhoid | 6 | Tumor, in the brain | 1 |
| Catarrh | 1 | Fever, typhus | 1 | Ulceration | 1 |
| Cirrhosis of liver | 1 | Fever, remittent | 1 | Ulceration of the bowels | 1 |
| | | Fever, scarlet | 2 | Unknown | 1 |
| | | Fever, typhoid | 6 | Unknown of the bowels | 1 |
| | | Fever, typhus | 1 | | |
| | | Fever, remittent | 1 | | |
| | | Fever, scarlet | 2 | | |
| | | Fever, typhoid | 6 | |

[illegible]

[illegible] TIMES (Morning and Evening) [illegible] SIX DOLLARS [illegible]

[illegible] WEEKLY TIMES is published on Tuesdays [illegible] TWO DOLLARS per annum. This copies will be [illegible] Postmasters in all cases must be made in advance.

## The Allies and the War.

We find the following paragraph in last week's *Albion*:

> Our weekly task would scarcely be complete, without a lounging another of the Efforts of the [illegible] Daily Times to prejudice the Allies in the estimation of its readers. In an [illegible] summary of the Foreign News by the *Asia* [illegible] our neighbor declares, on the authority of the London newspapers, [illegible] the siege of Sebastopol commenced early [illegible] the British camp. Turning to [illegible] of our careless contemporary, we [illegible] relieved by finding the number set down as among those of course will happen; but we have seen no attempt to correct this one. The plain truth of it is, that the New-York Daily Times is obviously made "popular"—its own [illegible] *to the prejudices of the ignorant*, [illegible] We are glad to be informed by [illegible] patriotic, and intelligent Americans, that we attach more importance than they choose, to let frequent vagaries of this sort.

It seems we quoted the London *Times* as authority for saying that sixty British soldiers had deserted, while a paragraph from some other quarter fixed it at twenty. We have never corrected the error, because our attention was never before called to it. If the *Albion* will tell us which number was accurate, we will set the public right on the subject. Meantime, we commend the discretion of those "educated, patriotic and intelligent Americans," who advise the *Albion*, that it attaches a great deal too much importance to such trifles as this.

The Editor of the *Albion* occupies a difficult and delicate position. As the conductor of an English newspaper, in an American community, it is very often his duty to advocate English interests, defend English conduct, sustain English sentiments in opposition to the feelings and opinions of the American people. He has always done this with ability, and generally without any violation of courtesy or good taste. It was natural that the Editor should stimulate his national sentiments and render him more than usually sensitive to the utterance of opinions at variance with his own; and we were quite prepared, therefore, for more waspishness than usually finds admittance into his columns. We admit, however, whether he is not transgressing the bounds of propriety in making so very trifling an error as that which he notices above, the occasion for so sweeping and reckless an impeachment of the motives of this paper. We have never questioned the right of the *Albion* to hold and promulgate just such sentiments as it sees fit on every subject it may discuss,—nor have we ever impeached the sincerity or the uprightness of its convictions. It is published expressly and exclusively for a special class of foreign readers;—we have never intimated that its course was guided by the necessity of securing their approbation, or by any other motive than an honest purpose to sustain opinions honestly entertained. Are we extravagant in asking the Editor of the *Albion* to be equally tolerant towards his neighbors of the *American* press? We are not able to see the principles involved in the Eastern war, with the *Albion's* eyes;—we have no hesitation in believing that the difference is to be found in the fact that we do not view them from his position. Whatever may seem just and proper in regard to us, he should be tolerant for the difference in this respect, rather than by assailing his motives and defaming his character.

## The War of the Allies.

*To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:*

Times's a shameful and dishonorable peace, [illegible] to us to be lurking in the secreted pages of the [illegible] of Vienna. The *Morning Chronicle* [illegible] that a mere difference of interpretation [illegible] points to the only essential obstacle to [illegible]. That difference may be got [illegible] in these few weeks of forced inactivity before the trenches. The moments are certainly those [illegible] turning point.—DAILY TIMES.

There's a writer is so free to make carping criticisms on all that is done and on all that is left undone with regard to the Eastern War, it seems only fair that he should openly lay down before the eyes of all the world Allowed competence, or what he would do himself if he were in the position of the Premier of Great Britain.

Please, therefore, to favor us with your exact views on this point, if you have any.

Sir, there are thousands of English and Irishmen who are as much concerned in the spread of liberal institutions and as much friends to the people as you do. They differ from you against the war as they think it will or will not extend a more mild form of government among Europeans. There are, however, few or none (in Europe) who, like yourself, depreciate alike both war and peace. Contrary, my dear Sir, is worth something in this world, and peace is worth more than the "cost of sympathy" which our nation has withheld from the United States in this war.

You have constantly told us that we cannot come successfully out of the war. Why, then, should we continue engaged in a war of ten times the magnitude of the present war? To centurion every theme on the Continent of Europe!—to ruin more than half the members of Europe? In such case would not the Continent be more than ever a prey to the only strong united people of Eastern Europe, the Russian people? One would suppose to read your paper that the European Governments ought to have no other occupation than to set the people of their respective States by the ears, and, like the Kilkenny Cats, try to eat each other up. Persons who know the condition of the people of Europe much better than you seem to do, feel well assured that retribution would not end there in the success of establishment of Republican Governments—and that you are prepared to aid in the rash and savage work of destruction of what exists, bad as it is, without substituting for something better in its place. The purpose that we were prepared (which I am well assured you are not,) to revolutionize Europe, when and where you and the American people please, is in good sense? Regard for our own people would suggest some considerable consideration in so vitally an experiment.

What compensation can Russia ever make us for the loss of the thousand [illegible] who have already fallen in this cause? What compensation can the British nation ever make to the relatives of those who so nobly fell at Alma, Balaklava and Inkerman? I maintain it is not our "manifest destiny" to defend the weak against the strong all the world over, because in defending the poor Turk or Magyar we shall damage the poor Christian English and Irishmen ten times as much as we shall benefit the Mussulman or the Hungarian.

The French, in defending liberty in this country, brought about licentiousness in their own.

A MANCHESTER MAN.

REMARKS.

Our Manchester man is too sensitive by half. It does not follow because comment is made by the press in this country on the manner in which the war of the Allies is conducted, that we must *tell* exactly how it *should be* conducted. The point is that our English residents claim that we should sympathize with England, first, because her people are of the same blood; and secondly, and mainly because she wars upon despotism and oppression. It is not that we are unwilling to take part with our own blood, when it is in the right, that desire is made to this proposition. We do not doubt, if this were the only ground assumed, that it would be most respectfully, if not cordially treated. But when England would have us look to the despotism of Russia, as a sufficient reason why we should take part against her in this struggle, she should remember not to consort so freely with despotism herself. The alliance with LOUIS NAPOLEON is bad enough—humiliating enough we should think to a Sovereign of Queen VICTORIA's standing and virtues—but to bring in the aid of the most absolute and meanest of European despots, FRANCIS JOSEPH, is certainly to place herself beyond the pale of Republican sympathy, in a mere struggle for the balance of power among despotisms.

Our correspondent thinks it hard that England should be required to fight the battles of Hungary and other dismembered peoples against the oppression of their own rulers. No such requisition was made; until in this war she put forth her strong arm against Russia, on the plea of vindicating the oppressed. The position was voluntarily assumed. If it has subjected her to Republican criticism, the fault is not ours. There are other oppressed peoples, of Christian blood, too, in Europe, beside the Turks. If the battle is for the weak against the strong, for liberty against absolutism, an absolutism for example, which would keep the iron heel of the despot upon the broken nationalities of Poland and Hungary, we should scarcely find a Constitutional Government like England—as with which free America is asked to sympathize—courting an alliance with that most despicable as well as treacherous power in Central Europe, which, above all others, has contributed most in armies and by alliances with Russia and Prussia, to keep those people down.

We pardon the slur of the Manchester man on our own revolutionary struggle. It is English all over. We shall never be forgiven the first success of our foresires against the "mother country." If there be any alleviation of the sting in the thought that the French demoralized their own nation by taking part with us in '76, our correspondent and his countrymen are welcome to it.

## How to Abrogate a Treaty.

Our Washington Correspondent, in a recent letter, suggests a disposition on the part of the Administration to advise the abrogation of the Clayton-Bulwer Treaty, by act of Congress. There is evidently some misunderstanding in the community as to the right of a nation thus to get rid of its treaty obligations; and there are those who do not think the precedent set in 1798, in the abrogation of our treaty with France, conclusive upon the subject.

It would seem as though there ought to be some other mode than war by which the weaker of two parties to a treaty, can get rid of its share of mutual obligation, if the stronger shall fail to fulfil *its* engagements,—else there would be no remedy for the weaker nation. The principle governing in such cases is thus laid down in *Wildman's International Law*,—a comparatively recent English work.

"A treaty is an entire contract. All its articles are dependent and have the force of conditions, so that the violation of any one of them is a violation of the whole treaty, and renders it voidable at the option of the party injured. Hence the United States, in 1798, declared their treaties with France to be no longer obligatory, because they had been repeatedly violated on the part of the French, and all just claims to reparation had been refused."

In other words, a treaty is deemed a sort of balance in which various interests of either party are placed in their respective scales. Each stipulation acceded to by one party is accepted on condition of something else to be conceded by the other party; and, of course, if any one of these conditions fails of fulfillment, the whole adjustment is thrown out of balance and the treaty falls to pieces—every single stipulation therein contained, being, so to speak, the key-stone which supports the entire arch.

VATTEL thus clearly states the case. Having first maintained the right of a nation to compel its ally to fulfill its treaty engagements, he says:

"But if the latter has no other expedient than that of arms, to force his ally to the performance of his promises, he would sometimes find it more eligible to cancel the promises on his own side also, and to dissolve the treaty. He has undoubtedly a right to do this, since his promises were made only on condition that the ally should, on his part, execute everything which he had engaged to perform. The party, therefore, who is offended or injured in those particulars which constitute the basis of the treaty, is at liberty to choose the alternative of either compelling a faithless ally to fulfill his engagements, or of declaring the treaty dissolved by the violations of it. On such an occasion, prudence and wise policy will point out the line of conduct to be pursued."

This certainly is plain common sense. If we apply the principles thus laid down to the case of controversy between Great Britain and the United States relative to the Clayton-Bulwer Treaty, it will be seen that we have the alternative of either insisting on its execution by the former, or of declaring the abrogation. It is only a question of policy which alternative we ought to adopt. Our interest and our self-respect imperatively demand that we should choose one or the other, and act upon the choice. It may not be desirable that we should acquire any dominion in Central America, notwithstanding the important interests we necessarily have there in connection with the Isthmian inter-oceanic communication; but, as the case stands, we are without the *power to choose* whether we shall or shall not accept the dominion tendered us by the Republic of Nicaragua, because we have agreed—in the Clayton-Bulwer Treaty—*not to exercise or assume dominion in any* part of Central America. And yet, while our hands are thus tied up by the Treaty, Great Britain continually *disregards her* share of the obligation. To use a homely illustration, our feet are in the stocks, while she uses her's freely to kick us to her heart's content. It is not consistent with our self-respect and our dignity as a nation, that this anomalous state of affairs should longer exist.

## The Texas Debt Case.

Complaint has been made to us that our editorial paragraph of the 26th ult., on the bill for the relief of the creditors of the former Republic of Texas, does injustice to the equitable claims of these parties. Such was not our purpose, nor can we see that the language employed in referring to the form in which the aggregate claim is now presented before Congress, is susceptible of this construction. "Either the United States ought *or ought not to pay the debts of Texas*," we remarked, and if there are reasons to support the affirmative, we continued, they should be boldly and consistently acted upon. Our Washington Correspondent at the same time intimated the apprehension that the form in which the claim is presented—i. e., adding to the original appropriation over two millions dollars, not directly as due to the creditors, but as a concession to the State of Texas for Indian claims—is calculated to weaken its strength in the House of Representatives. The original Senate bill was simply a recognition of the equitable right of the creditors to $9,500,000, to pay which it was proposed that the Treasury should cash the reserved $5,000,000 Texas Indemnity five per cents, due in 1864, and their accrued and accruing interest to the year 1864.

So far from intending anything like a doubt of the justice of the claim, our uniform course on the subject has been to enforce the duty of the General Government to settle the question promptly in some way, with or without the consent of the State of Texas, whose course has not been liberal or just in regard to the disposition of the reserved bonds. To this end we procured for publication, in October, 1852, the official opinion of Attorney-General CUSHING to Secretary GUTHRIE, and at the same time urged that the vexed question should be mutually and equitably adjusted at the earliest day practicable, especially on two most important accounts—first, because while suspended it leads to the charge of bad faith to her creditors on the side of Texas, carrying with it the implication of injustice on the side of the General Government, which has fallen heir to the Custom Revenues specifically pledged to these creditors; and, secondly, because of the ability of the Treasury to pay off the claim, during its then (and present) condition of repletion.

In January last, reference was again made to the subject, and the extraordinary course of injustice by the State of Texas, through her Legislature, commented upon. The report of her Senate Finance Committee at the session of 1853-4 left no hope of relief to the Revenue Creditors of the late Republic, except through the action of Congress. That report proposed to relinquish to the people or the counties the taxes of 1853 and 1854, and to support the State Government on the interest upon the first half of the Indemnity Bonds; virtually signifying that with the second or reserved half, the State could pay off at an arbitrarily scaled rate the Revenue Creditors of the late Republic, and have a liberal balance left wherewith to make composition with other creditors.

It is quite evident therefore, that the Revenue Creditors must look to the General Government alone for relief, and no indisposition has been shown, either by the late or present Administration, to recognize their claim, while the authority to pay it to the extent of the reserved Indemnity and its accrued interest, is withheld by Texas, and the authority to pay in full, with or without her consent, rests with Congress and not the Administration. The case is not only a clear one, but demands the prompt action of Congress, equally on considerations of justice and public faith.

The full claim of these Revenue Creditors amounts, beyond question, with interest from 1850, when the debt of Texas was classified and audited, to more than the sum of $8,500,000. By the report of Mr. COSWIN to Mr. FILLMORE, the principal alone was decided to be about $8,270,000, and under the stricter construction of Mr. CUSHING, the principal of the debt having a lien upon the reserved Indemnity, under the Compromise act of 1850, was set down at $7,836,000, exclusive of the Red Back Notes of 1837, which technically could not be construed as "Bonds or Certificates of Stock" under the wording of the act, though clearly designed, as Mr. C. admits, to have been included in the original Compromise Resolutions of Mr. CLAY, which he quotes.

The question of amount resolves itself into these points:

1. Mr. FILLMORE's Administration recognized as having claim to the reserved Indemnity the sum of $8,270,000, Red Back Notes inclusive, but excluding the 10 per cent Certificates under the Texas act of February, 1840, ascertained to be $1,628,000.

2. The present Administration recognizes as having legal claim to the Indemnity, the sum of $7,836,000, exclusive of the Red Backs, but including the Certificates of 1840.

3. The present Administration also recognizes as having an equitable claim upon the Custom Revenues entrusted by Texas, the further sum of $2,500,000, Red Back Notes, which, by the wording of the Compromise act of 1850, are legally thrown out.

4. The principal of the sum acknowledged by Mr. FILLMORE is within $230,000 of the maximum in the Senate bill, while five years' interest added, at 5 per cent., would make the sum $10,395,000, instead of eight and a half millions.

5. The principal of the sum legally acknowledged by the Cabinet of Mr. PIERCE, is within $1,164,000 of the Senate bill, while five years' interest added would make $9,170,000.

6. The principal of the sum equitably acknowledged by the same Administration, is $9,922,000, without interest.

In this view of the case there would seem to be no circumlocution required in the bill before Congress to establish the amount of $8,500,000. The only question to be determined is, whether the acknowledged Revenue Creditors of Texas are to be settled with by the General Government—now that they have been denied justice by the State—to the full sum of their claim, or only to the amount of the reserved Indemnity Bonds and the accrued interest thereon since 1850. To whatever sum the Custom Revenues of the late Republic stood pledged, the Public Faith of the General Government stands directly or indirectly implicated. The settlement of the claim was by the Act of 1850 deferred to the State; but it is now thrown back on the General Government. Consulting both law and equity, the gross claim is nearly ten millions dollars, without interest. The Revenue creditors, all making common cause, ask for $8,500,000. The Treasury holds, including interest and the premium now offered for such stock, the sum of $6,550,000, already appropriated by the act of 1850. This will not settle the claim to the satisfaction of any party. The State would not relinquish her own right to distribute the fund, nor the creditors consent to have it go to Texas to be arbitrarily and unjustly scaled. The present bill, we understand, is not objected to by either. The difference to the Government is now $1,950,000, and this sum, if the question remains unsettled a few years longer, it is argued, would be absorbed by the accruing interest on Bonds, which the Treasury is every way able to anticipate.

## Brigham Young Averred to be Both Loyal And Honest.

"It will never do to give it up so," thinks Hon. John M. BERNHISEL, Delegate from Utah. Governor BRIGHAM YOUNG has been abused long enough. Mormons have been charged with bearing little love to the General Government, until it is time to prove that there is a mistake about it. The Delegate, as he certainly should if he can, comes up to the rescue. Questioning the propriety of speaking on the floor of the House, (which is noisy, and for a new man, awkward,) he very wisely speaks in the *Washington Union*,—a better rostrum, over which the dome saves, not loses, all that is uttered, and bears it to the remotest listener.

He first proceeds to vindicate BRIGHAM YOUNG's honesty in money matters:

> By the twelfth section of the act of the 9th of September, 1850, entitled an act to establish a Territorial Government for Utah, the sum of twenty thousand dollars was appropriated to the Territory, to be applied by the Governor and Legislative Assembly to the erection of suitable public buildings at the seat of Government. That sum was advanced to Governor YOUNG in the month of July, 1851, and he has been unwarrantably charged with a misapplication of that money. Of that accusation a very simple statement will be an ample refutation.
>
> On the 30th of September, 1853, Governor YOUNG rendered an account and vouchers, setting forth the expenditure of $10,372 49. Of this sum, Hon. ELISHA WHITTLESEY, First Comptroller of the Treasury, allowed $8,703 98, but disallowed $1,600 paid for services of various persons as a committee, and otherwise including traveling expenses on an expedition to select a site for the seat of Government. Mr. WHITTLESEY objected to it as an unusual charge, though its necessity must be apparent. However, that sum deducted, the balance amounts to $11,296 03, for which Governor YOUNG holds himself ready to account. The Indian hostilities, which have troubled the Territory, and other causes, have delayed the completion of the public buildings; but Governor YOUNG has given notice to the Secretary of the Treasury, as the best refutation of the accusation against him, that he will honor a draft at sight, if that officer of the general Government will draw upon him for the unexpended balance in his hands.

That done, BRIGHAM YOUNG's loyalty is looked into. In answer to the many things current bearing on this point, and which have given the general impression, he quotes the opinion of Captain STANSBURY; which, unfortunately, by attempting to prove the excellent *personal* reputation of the polygamous Governor, weakens the value of the testimony.

The delegate says:

> Governor YOUNG has never threatened opposition to the General Government or violence to a successor, nor have the people of the Territory of Utah failed to respect the federal laws. The language which has been perverted was the exposition of his confidence in the government of God, and the expression of his devout submission to the Providence which rules all created things. He believes that if it is God's good pleasure that he shall retire to a private life, or continue Governor of the Territory of Utah, distinguished station in the General Government and political preeminence among men will be powerless to change the designs of Omnipotence; and hence he has said, How futile it is for man to be solicitous about that which man cannot control! Will it be questioned that God rules in the armies of Heaven and among the inhabitants of the earth? Why, then, should it be deemed presumptuous in Governor YOUNG to give utterance to unquestioned truth?

The language which Mr. Delegate BERNHISEL says, "has been perverted" was extracted from the *Deseret News*, and the Government Organ of Utah, ignorant or learned who heard it, could not doubt its meaning that BRIGHAM would not surrender his governorship until a direct revelation from above should give him the order. No one would dream from reading it that he meant to intimate that the seal of Col. STAPLETON's commission should be accepted as a heavenly revelation.

To the charge that Gov. YOUNG and the Mormons do not comply with the requisitions of the General Government, "So far even as to transmit a copy of their laws or a statement of their public expenditures," he replies, that it is untrue; and moreover, if it were true, that by special enactment of Congress that is made the duty of the "Secretary," who is appointed by the powers at Washington. He denies that Gov. YOUNG has any other military force than has the Governor of every State and Territory. He adds the statement of Hon. L. H. READ, late Chief Justice of Utah, regarding the maligned Governor, as follows:

> I was received by Gov. YOUNG with marked courtesy and respect. He has taken pains to make my residence here agreeable. The Governor, in manner and conversation, is a polished gentleman, very good and kind in disposition, easy and pleasant in conversation, and, I think, a man of decided talent and strong intellectual qualities. I have heard him address the people on the subject of Man's Free Agency. He is a very excellent speaker, his gestures uncommonly graceful, articulation distinct, and speech pleasant. I was extremely edified by his address and manner. The Governor is a discriminate business man. His private business is extensive; he owns several grist and saw mills, is extensively engaged in farming operations, all which he superintends personally. I have made up my mind that no man has been more grossly misrepresented than Gov. YOUNG, and that he is a man who will reciprocate kindness and good intentions as heartily and freely as any one.

He concluded with the anticipation that Col. STAPLETON will not dissent from Judge READ's opinion. The delegate can speak with authority. If *he*, having long inspected the inner organs of that strange territory, augurs well of Col. STAPLETON's prospects, we may accept the omen with confidence. Still, we cannot help wondering whether there would have been so much anxiety manifested to put BRIGHAM's loyalty on exhibition if President PIERCE had shown a fear of meeting the late issue, and had left the Saint still to sustain the dignity of Governor. Was not BRIGHAM's pugnacious announcement a feeler—an experiment to see if the President could be frightened? Perhaps politics are no purer there than elsewhere.

## Biographies of Living Men.

PARTON's *Life of Greeley* has been made the occasion by every critic who has pronounced upon it for protesting against the practice of writing biographies of living men. We do not join in the protest. It is only a legitimate deduction from the old doctrine first promulgated when types were a strange thing under the sun, that a book is a thing which must be handled like a piece of precious china, or nursed like a sickly baby,—that no book should be published which will not be as good for the next generation as for this. It is the fashionable sentiment of a past age "left over." Wrinkled men of letters may believe it, but Younger America has discovered that a book may answer its purpose and deserve well of the critics, though it perish with its first editions and have no resurrection after its decease. He holds that a book is like bread,—like baker's bread that must be eaten the day it is baked, or like hard bread which is made to keep. Like fruit, some kinds of *good* books are of a perishable nature, yet cost no less, and are of no less intrinsic worth than corn which only depreciates after long housing. Then, there are some books which serve a good turn for a season and then cease from general circulation, but do not even then lose their value. Such are volumes of statistics, out of which we gather texts for present meditation and instruction, and the materials of which future historians will construct true theories of government and life. Of this order are the biographies of living men. They furnish much material toward discovering the character of the movers, and hence the motives themselves to the great changes that transpire in the world.

A biographer, say they who protest, cannot avoid flattering his subject if he admires him. Grant that it is so, but what is written is not indelibly written. Errors of statement in it are sure to be corrected; the reader accepts the book as one-sided. The fact of its being bound in covers no longer carries the assurance that its contents are true. Readers suspend judgment till they hear the other side, and for this they look to the critics—at least to that public sentiment which the critics chiefly make. The truth or falsity of the story is canvassed while the subject is alive, to be sure that its abusive errors are corrected, and while his enemies have no delicacy in tearing away the flattering untruths that it sets around him. Wait till a man is dead, and immediately a new law is in force—*that of the dead nothing but good should be spoken*. Then his name has been bequeathed to competent successors; the untruths must go for truths, and "what is writ" be writ unalterably.

If there is anything worthy of imitation in any of those about us, and, they consenting, have their points illuminated, we should be thankful. When men are dead their good deeds are lifted up, and we carve statues to remind youth of their good example. Why not consent to see their excellences while the living men walk the streets, better than statues, certainly, to impress youth, and far truer.

They indeed are to be pitied, whom even the best meaning biographers "take" alive. It is as if one should possess consciousness while the knife of the ardent dissector lays bare arteries and veins, and his hook draws up nerves from their coatings and muscles from their sheaths. It does not much blunt the pain, that the dissector goes into raptures over the beauty of the parts exposed. But if men are willing to be served up alive as Hottentots cut beef-steaks from the flanks of living cattle, we should not complain.

Whatever objection holds good against these live men's biographies, is equally good against autobiographies. In the one case, a man writes down his own story; in the other, some admiring friend, who strives to see him as nearly as possible as he sees himself, writes it for him. Both will be sure to flatter. For both we make allowance; and we take a grain of salt with each when we sit down to digest it. It was thought legitimate to write a life of CALHOUN while he lived, and several of CLAY and of WEBSTER. If Lord CARDIGAN or the Czar NICHOLAS should come to town, no one would think it out of place if we ransacked all our libraries and gave a page or two of the Times to a biography of the distinguished stranger. Where is the difference if, instead of printing it in book form, we put it in a newspaper quarter, it be printed in larger type on smaller sheets, and the sheets folded more conveniently, trimmed off, stitched together, put into a cover which is gilded and lettered on the back? The book is nothing but the newspaper dressed up in Sunday clothes, and sold at a dollar and a quarter, instead of a couple of cents. Don't binder, then, the writing of biographies. Nothing is fixed, they say, until it is right. If the biography is very poorly done, it will soon sink out of sight and be heard of no more. If well done, it is only necessary, when the funeral is over, to add an appendix, and then the widow may have the early consolation of seeing her spouse's life reproduced. It adds to the number of books to encourage them; but in the reading world, as at our restaurants, we like a full bill of fare, though we do not expect to eat through everything that is written on it.

## A Good Week's Work.

Seven days have elapsed, and our Mayor sticks by his "determination." In these seven days he has done seven good weeks' work—seven good months of practical reforming he has consummated in this brief time, besides saying some things that were not called for, and assuming some prerogatives without any law to support him. Men feel more secure at night than in 1854. They fancy that "live men" guard their property, and waking men watch over them while they sleep. The times we are sure will grow easier soon—so far as is consistent with that rise of real estate which is sure to occur when good laws are maintained.

The Mayor—we take it that he is to have the credit—has made Broadway passable, secured open gutters for the liquid filth of the City to drain off to the sewers, made every star-bearer stand erect, take his hands out of his pockets, and keep his eyes open; reanimated scores of dead ordinances, made penalties look frightful, and provoked a study of the law. On Friday he hauled up a lounging officer, and reprimanded him for using disrespectful language concerning his superior. On the same day, he ordered the "Chief" to return to him the names of all his "detailed" men. He acts in all respects as if he intended to reduce the "Chief of Police" to the functions of a Mayor's Chief Assistant, and himself to assume—as the law directs—the chieftaincy over all the state, great and small.

On Sunday *the liquor shops were shut up!* It is hard to believe it, but to a very considerable extent it was so all over town. If ever we are so happy as to get a Maine Law over our hard-drinking City, it would be our pleasure to have FERDINAND WOOD—such as he has been the past week—Mayor of the City. Our new broom has only to keep on sweeping as it has begun—save always such unlucky brushing into forbidden corners as when the Police Clerks were swept in without being confirmed—to be pronounced, by all sensible citizens, the very best one of the age.

## Amusements.

The most important and attractive entertainment of the week will occur on Thursday evening next, at the Academy of Music. That evening is set apart for the GRIST and MARIO concert, given in aid of the suffering poor of this community. To direct the attention of our readers to the more attractiveness of this concert, is doing them injustice, for we are persuaded its object will outweigh all other considerations. But viewed simply as an occasion for rational enjoyment, there is wonderful inducement in the programme. Such a galaxy of talent has never before been witnessed on one occasion. In addition to GRIST and MARIO, we are to have Signorina DONOVANI, Signori BARILLI, ROSSINI, FABRICATORE, SALEMBENI, and Signor ARDITI with his magnificent orchestra. These artists sing the principal gems from no less than seven different operas; the orchestra executing two overtures, and playing the full accompaniments to all the scenes, cavatini, &c.

The Committee have wisely put the admission at $2 to all parts of the house. Low as this undoubtedly is, (considering the Concert is one for charitable purposes,) it will realize from eight to ten thousand dollars, if New-Yorkers make a proper response.

BROADWAY THEATRE.—WALLACK's admirable Opera of "Maritana" will be performed to-night, with the leading artistes as last season. An addition has been made to this excellent troupe in the person of Mr. HORNCASTLE, recently with NIBLO. He is an admirable artist, and in every way an acquisition. What the PYNE and HARRISON troupe wants now to be perfect, is a contralto voice.

On Thursday, (the night of the Charity Concert at the Academy—which must not be forgotten,) "Cinderella" is to be produced.

WALLACK'S THEATRE.—Three well established pieces to-night—"A Morning Call," "The Sisters," and "Out on the Lease."

BURTON'S THEATRE.—Rev. GEO. CRABBE's Comedy of "Pride Shall Have a Fall" is to be revived here to-night, with due care, and a cast that includes all the strength of the company.

On Wednesday an original comicality, called "Circe and her Magic Cup," will be produced.

METROPOLITAN THEATRE.—This splendid theatre is to be invaded by an Equestrian Company to-night. After the performances of Messrs. SANDS & Co., the drama of "Luke the Laborer."

BARNUM'S MUSEUM.—The temperance drama of the "Drunkard" in the afternoon. "Ugolino," and "A Cockney in China," at night.

BUCKLEY'S SERENADERS.—This evening the burlesque Opera of "Cinderella," with B. B. BUCKLEY as *Pedro*, G. S. BUCKLEY as the *Mock Prince*, PERCIVAL as the *Baron*, and Miss ELEANOR as *Cinderella*.

GEORGE CHRISTY & WOOD'S SERENADERS.—Overflowing houses here every night to see the "Mummy," and hear excellent singing.


## BUSINESS NOTICES.

PIANOS! PIANOS!—AN IMMENSE ASSORTMENT of Pianos of every variety of style, manufactured by the different manufacturers, among them are T. Gilbert & Co's celebrated Piano, which has received Premiums at the principal Fairs in the United States, Western Pianos. Second-hand Pianos at great bargains; prices from $40 to $125. Pianos to rent. Music, music books, and music paper. Pianos from the well known manufactories of Chickering, Stoddart, Gilbert, Hallet, Davis & Co., H. W. Smith's Melodeons, (formerly the second improvement,) prices, $40, $50, $60, $70, $80, $90, $100, $120, and upwards. Each instrument guaranteed. Numerous testimonials made from former purchasers. HORACE WATERS, No. 333 Broadway.

PATENT FIRE-PROOF SAFES.—THE SUBSCRIBERS are now offering for sale the Patent Chemical Safe, with Hall's Patent Powder Proof Locks, (the best and largest than a bank vault.) Depot, 110 Water St., near Wall and Pine-sts. SILAS C. HERRING.

ALBERT H. NICOLAY WILL HOLD HIS REGULAR Semi-Weekly Auction Sale of Books and Stock THIS DAY at 10 o'clock, at the Merchants' Auction Room, Fire Insurance are to be adjusted at the office, No. 4 Broad-st. Catalogues can be obtained at the office, No. 4 Broad-st.

HERMANN SALAMANDER SAFES.—HOBBS'S PATENT PICKS is the sole manufacturer in the United States of the celebrated Salamander Safes, and P. G. Gottfried's Patent Dead Locks and Chest Locks. Depot No. 102 Pearl-st., one door below Maiden-lane.

LYONS KATHAIRON.—THIS INCOMPARABLE HAIR DRESSING is unequaled by any other article ever introduced, for cleansing the human hair, for it is free from all acrid or poisonous ingredients, and is warranted not to injure the hair. Sold by all Druggists, everywhere. LYON, No. 32 Broadway, by appointment.

## SPECIAL NOTICES.

☞ Fresh and Concentrated Essence and Extract of Sarsaparilla, (Pure Vegetable Extract,) for the Cure of Scrofula, Scurvy and Cutaneous Eruptions, Rheumatism, Dropsy and Costiveness, with all the Diseases resulting from a Disordered Liver, Stomach, Bowels and Blood, Prepared only by A. ROBERTSON, Chemist, Cincinnati, Ohio. Sold by all Druggists in the United States. A. B. & D. SANDS, C. V. OLDCKENER & CO., C. H. RING, New-York; and WM. R. KIEDER, Philadelphia.

☞ New-York State Military Association.—We are requested to call all the members of the New-York State Military Association, and all citizens desiring to become members thereof, to meet at the Astor House on TUESDAY, the 9th day of January inst., at 11 o'clock A. M.

☞ Ladies' Ball for the Relief of the Poor.—This Ball will take place at the Academy of Music, on WEDNESDAY EVENING, Jan. 11.

Tickets of admission are fifteen dollars for each person, (whether lady or gentleman,) without any privilege for refreshments; and can be had at the principal hotels, booksellers' and music stores, and also of any of the

BOARD OF MANAGERS.

J. DePeyster Ogden, Charles A. Davis, James W. Gerard, S. Livingston, Robert Ogden, Edward S. Gould, S. Ludlow, J. Dudley Field, Daniel Lawrence, D. H. Haight, Charles O'Conor, Alex. Macomb, N. F. Bailey, George F. Morris, J. Taylor, G. J. Cornell, Adrien Iselin, Gen. W. Cotter, Daniel B. Fearing, Robert Van Rensselaer, Moses J. Beach, Dr. J. Bowers, J. V. Fowler, Wolfert Roe, Samuel Nicholson, Eugene Kelteltas, James Harper, Benjamin Douglass, Hamilton Clark, F. L. Waddell, Horace H. Day, J. C. B. Davis, Frederick Hudson, Wm. E. Astor, J. A. Westervelt, Jr., Wm. Chauncey, Horace Greeley, Charles F. Daly, Junius S. Stearns, James Phalen, E. K. Haight, John Schermerhorn, John Jay, John Rathbone, Wm. H. Paine, Craig Grafton, A. C. Robertson, Hiram Fuller, Samuel Ward, Jr., Wm. A. Guiver, Julius Brooks, M. Livingston, Samuel Nicoll, W. Hoffman, John Cochrane, John Treadwell, Samuel Whitney, Major Kearney, Henry A. Coit, Wm. B. Duncan, J. W. Hamersley, T. Watson Webb, S. Robt. Winthrop, Major Mesomb, John C. Stevens, Wm. B. Sebosom, H. J. Redfield, H. J. Raymond, H. W. Field, Jr., Sidney Brooks, Orden Hoffman, Alfred Craven, Royal Phelps, Wm. H. Jones, Robert B. Roosevelt, Jacob Little, Robert Emmet, Jr., Joshua Coles, Henry Arcy, Clemmon D. Hurd, T. C. T. Buckley, T. R. Foster, George Baxter, George Bliss, Charles J. Dana, George Belden, Dr. H. Kissam, Dr. J. Kissam, Alfred Ely, James K. Pell, Wm. E. Dodge, Jr., Gen. Jas. Talmadge, Gen. Jas. Pearson, Gen. Jas. Lee, Gen. Jas. Lewis, Gen. Jas. Mitchell, Gen. Jas. Hall, Gen. Jas. Bowne, Gen. Jas. Bloodgood, Gen. Jas. Hamilton, Gen. Jas. Duane, Gen. Jas. Tallmadge, Gen. Jas. Clinton, Gen. Jas. Lloyd, Gen. Jas. Jones, Gen. Jas. Ludlow, Gen. Jas. Bayard

## CITY INTELLIGENCE

SUPREME COURT—Special Term.—Nos. 9, 10, [illegible]

SUPREME COURT—Special Term.—Jan. 6.

Before the Chief Justice Rosekrans.

[illegible]

SUPREME COURT—Special Term.—Jan. 6.

Before Mr. Justice Ketchum.

Miller vs. Reed.—This is an action against the defendant as drawer of a promissory note. The defendant in the action is absent, and an application is now made by Reed for a commission to examine Ann Miller.

Bronson.—Parliament in England allows a party to a suit to examine his own witness, leaving the question of credibility, under a full view of all the circumstances, to the jury. We have adopted the rule, but have coupled with it a proviso, that the witness shall be competent notwithstanding the interest of the witness, or the interest of any other person, which may be pecuniary, the change in practice works a change in the law of evidence, and we do not think that while on the stand a party should be allowed to testify to facts which, nevertheless, in all the law in this State, Reform have been made to change it, and to remove one manifest contradiction alluded to, but thus far [illegible] All that our Legislature as yet has been willing to do, has been to allow one party to call the opposite party, and, in certain cases, one opposite to call his opponent, and, in certain cases, one opposite to call his opponent, and, in certain cases, one opposite to call his opponent

## AUCTION SALES.

[illegible]

## DIVIDENDS.

NEW-YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY—TREASURER'S OFFICE, ALBANY, Jan. 4, 1855.—The Interest on the Bonds of this Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Hudson River Railroad Company, falling due on the 1st January, will be paid on the Coupons of said Bonds, when presented at the Office of the Company, in the City of New-York, on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Utica and Schenectady Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Syracuse and Utica Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Buffalo and Syracuse Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Buffalo and Rochester Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Rochester and Syracuse Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Auburn and Rochester Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Tonawanda Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Niagara Falls and Lockport Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Lockport and Niagara Falls Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Rochester and Lake Erie Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Niagara Falls and Canandaigua Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Canandaigua and Elmira Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Canandaigua and Corning Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Corning and Blossburg Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Corning and Tioga Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Corning and Painted Post Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Corning and Hornellsville Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Hornellsville and Buffalo Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Hornellsville and Olean Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Olean and Salamanca Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Salamanca and Dunkirk Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Dunkirk and Jamestown Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Jamestown and Erie Railroad Company, payable in 1855, (bearing date of $2,000,000 issue,) will be paid on and after the 15th inst. Coupons of the Bonds of the Company, issued in exchange for the Bonds of the Erie and Pittsburgh

MARRIED.

DIED.

LONG ISLAND.

COMMERCIAL AFFAIRS.

MARINE INTELLIGENCE.